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Abstract: This research aimed to describe the core values of Kemitraan Bumidipa as sharia microfinance program that applies profit-loss sharing scheme, to elaborate the main obstacles that might cause the failure of the program, to analyze the effectiveness of its financing, and to analyze the impact of financing on poverty alleviation of shrimp farmers in Rawajitu Timur District, Tulang Bawang Regency. Descriptive analysis, qualitative analysis and poverty indices are used as the analysis methods. The result shows that, on the one hand, the core values of Kemitraan Bumidipa are the real profit sharing system, professional financial services, and the investment guarantee model for its financing. On the other hand, the risks faced by the program are the unstable environment of shrimp farming, technical problems, the shrimp’s price fluctuation, and moral hazard behavior. According to effectivity analysis, overall this program has been effective. Based on poverty indices result, sen index of farmers increase after becoming members of Kemitraan Bumidipa as the result of the failure of farmers’ cultivation. As recommendation, it should be a supervision mechanism to prevent the members from moral hazard act and it’s neccessary for further research particularly related to the unstable environment of shrimp farming and the fluctuation of shrimp’s price.
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INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is a maritim country that possess great potential in the fisheries sector. It can be seen from the fact that Indonesia is the largest archipelagic state in the world which has 17,504 islands and coastline length of 104,000 km (Bakosurtanal 2006). The total area of sea itself is around 3,544 million km², or approximately 70% of the territory of Indonesia (Ditjen P2HP, 2011). These geographical conditions show that Indonesia has a large fishery resources.

In fact, contradictive condition happened with coastal societies as main actors of fisheries sector compared to its potential. In 2011, the Central Statistics Beaureau (BPS) recorded the number of poor fishermen in Indonesia reached 7.87 million, or about 25.14% of its total poor population (Jannah 2014). According to Fauzi (2000), it is generally recognized that the main cause of global fisheries crisis is the poor management of fisheries that can be seen from the prominent phenomena, namely overcapacity and habitat destruction. These factors then could arise several problems, such as the massive subsidies, poverty, overfishing, etc. This condition becomes a big challenge for anyone who is involved on fisheries sector, especially for the developing country like Indonesia where the social, political, economic, and demographic condition that doesn’t support the fisheries development. Therefore, it is not surprising as the decades of this nation's independence, the fisheries sector has not shown its movement, although the physical and geographical potential of fish resources in Indonesia is far better than countries in Asia.

This poverty problem could also be determined as the result of the limited access to capital. There is a reciprocal relationship between the poor when associated with access to capital. The coastal societies couldn’t access the capital because they are poor, and as they are not able to access it they remain poor. According to Maarif (2008) in Ariansyach (2009), some factors that causes the poverty on coastal societies are the limited access to capital and cultural subsistence or work merely to meet the daily basic-needs.

There are some definitions related to the term of poverty. According to Central Bureau of Statistic (BPS), poverty is seen as an economic inability to meet the basic needs of food and non-food categories, measured by expenditure approach. Therefore, BPS concluded that the people are categorized as poor if the average of their monthly-expenditure per capita for each month is below the poverty line. The poverty line is the combined concept of Food Poverty Line (GKM) and Non-Food Poverty Line (GKNM). While the Board of National Population and Family Planning (BKKBN) defines poverty as a family welfare concept. BKKBN devides the criteria of families into five stages: Pre-Prosperous Family (Pre-KS), Prosperous Family I (KS I), Prosperous Family II (KS II), Prosperous Family III (KS III), and Prosperous Family III Plus (KS III-Plus). In this case, BKKBN defines Pre-prosperous family as a very poor family and Prosperous Family I as poor family (Beik et al. 2013).

Sajogyo (1996) revealed that poor concept is not limited to the inability to meet the physical or biological needs, but also related to the inability of a person to live and function as "standard" in their communities. One consequence of this concept is that the poverty measurement becomes relative, which is changed from time to time. This change is not only about the price change, but a change in what people need for a decent live from time to time in a developing society.

The concept of poverty in Islam refers to the notion of absolute poverty has been evolved which is measured by the inability to meet basic needs. Furthermore, the Islamic concept of
absolute poverty is built on two indicators of material poverty and spiritual poverty. Material poverty is based on the inability to meet the material needs, while the spiritual poverty is based on the inability to meet the minimum spiritual needs such an implementation of compulsory worship. When a household experiences material poverty and spiritual poverty, the household can be said to suffer absolute poverty (Beik et al., 2013).

Actually, various attempts have been undertaken by the government in order to tackle this matter. Several policies were implemented directly, for instance the expansion of the industry, modernization of fishing gear, and capital assistance such as Farming Credit (KUT), Business Credit (KUR), Credit for Micro and Small Business (KUMK), Community Development Partnership Program (CSR). Unfortunately, those programs were still aid purpose only, rather than the financing program.

While the low access of coastal societies to capital and market have caused them prefer to deal with non-formal financial institutions such a loan shark. It just trapped them into more difficult situation. This condition could be a vicious cycle that causes powerlessness of coastal societies (Ariansyach, 2009).

The shortage in the credit system that has been done by the government and financial institutions should be evaluated in order to get other financing alternatives that are better suited for fisheries sector. Credit or financing system offered should be relevant to the conditions of coastal communities. One of the solutions for the problem is Islamic financing system. Islamic financing system oriented on improving the welfare may give a new hope to the future of farmers, particularly in the field of fisheries.

According to Undang-Undang No. 21 Tahun 2008 about Islamic Banking, financing is the provision of funds or bill equivalent with the form of the profit and loss sharing in the form of *mudharabah* and *musharaka*, leasing transactions in the form of *ijarah* or hire purchasing in the form of *ijarah muntaha* ittamiilik, purchasing transactions in the form of *muraiba*, *salam*, and *istishna*, debt transaction in the form of receivables *qardh* and leasing service transaction in the form of *ijarah* for multiservice transactions based on agreement between Islamic Bank and / or the Sharia Business Unit (UUS) and other parts that obligates the part required financing and / or given the facility of funds to repay the funds after a certain period of time in exchange for *ujrah*, without compensation, or profit sharing.

In general, the Islamic financial system generates two types of financing design, those are debt financing and equity financing (Ghafar and Ismail 2006). Financing in the form of debt such as *muraiba* and *ijarah* use mark-up scheme-based, whereas capital financing such as *mudharabah* and *musharaka* use the profit-loss sharing (PLS). Profit-loss sharing itself as a form of financing is preferred because it can boost the economy in the real sector and contains the values of justice, especially in the distribution of profits and losses for the parties involved.

Based on its history, the profit-loss sharing scheme in business partnership actually has been implemented long time ago. It is commonly conducted by people of Mecca and Medina long before Islam was revealed through the Prophet Muhammad. In Medina, profit-loss sharing system was widely applied in the form of cooperation on agriculture and trading sector as well as livestock raising. Afzalurrahman (1997) stated that the Quraysh had excellent trade knowledge and gain a huge profit from it. Trading was performed in various forms, as well as its business organization. *Syirkah* (cooperation) was conducted in several forms, where the owners of capital could be directly involved in the business or simply become sleeping partner,
and that way, they shared in the profits and losses (mudaraba).

In Indonesia, the practice of business activities based on Islamic principles has also already been applied by farmers like maro and mertelu system. This makes the application of the Islamic financing system seems not to be a difficult thing for farmers, but provides a greater profit for them and offer more justice for all parties involved (Anjani, 2013).

Profit-loss sharing system in the financial sector (bank) was begun with the establishment of a local bank in Mit Ghaur Village in 1963. Although closed after several years, it inspired the first conference of Islamic Economics in Mecca in 1975. Then the Islamic Development Bank (IDB) was born and followed by the establishment of Islamic financial institutions in various countries. In Indonesia, sharia bank was first established in 1992, namely Bank Muamalat Indonesia (Soemitra, 2009). Furthermore, the development of Islamic financial institutions in Indonesia is continued widely till now.

Yousfi (2013) stated that the financing contract using profit-loss sharing principle, especially mudharabah is able to overcome the problem of moral hazard in the financing because it provides a very strong incentive mechanism in cases where there is a risk of failure of an organization. This kind of funding would trigger the fund manager (mudharib) to give maximum effort on the business.

However, the profit-loss sharing model may face some obstacles on its implementation. According Sutriso (2008), the profit-loss sharing system is still very weak compared to mark-up financing system such a murabahah. It is inseparable from the constraints in implementation, both in terms of Shahibul mal (investor) and mudharib (client). The constraints faced by Shahibul mal is related with agency problem, high level of risk, technical terms, financing that is only on short term, and the ineffectiveness of profit-loss sharing financing. While the constraints on mudharib includes moral standards, lack of freedom in term of running the business, as well as the cost and efficiency problems. These constraints will certainly affect the performance of the financing itself.

Various studies discussed about microfinance and its effect on various aspects of the economy. Some of the research related to the impact of microfinance program on coastal societies income. Ariansyach (2009) stated that microfinance program that gave capital to fishermen had real influence on the enhancement of their income. There are significance rise of participants' business revenue as the result of capital increase from the program. Another study, conducted by Rodiana (2014), discussed about the effectivity of the sharia microfinance program that apply murabahah and yarnen on farmers. The result showed that Implementation yarnen system in this program was been effective at all stages of financing and had positive impact on its members’ business.

Effendy (2013) compared the role between conventional microfinance and sharia microfinance in case of poverty alleviation. The result revealed that both types of institutions had a positive effect on poverty reduction. But the effect of the Islamic financial institutions was slightly better than conventional financial institutions. Filtration system used by Islamic microfinance had a significant impact on its ability to avoid credit risks faced by conventional one, as well as client business development.

Other studies try to analyze the effectivity of financing, especially in microfinance field. According to Anjani (2013), basically the effectivity refers to a success or achievement of objectives. Effectiveness can be described by 4 criteria (Agustina, 2010):
1. Mengikuti langkah-langkah yang dirancang dan aturan.
2. Mencapai level di atas kompetitor atau bisa lebih baik dari kompetitor.
3. Memberikan hasil dari apa yang dilakukan yang memberikan keuntungan bagi pihak lain.
4. Mampu menangani tantangan masa depan.


Model pembiayaan Islam yang menerapkan prinsip berbagi keuntungan-rugi telah berkembang luas di Indonesia, khususnya dalam bidang kecil dan menengah di masyarakat pantai. Salah satunya adalah program Kemitraan Bumidipa, yaitu program pembiayaan bagi peternak udang yang beroperasi di Distrik Rawajitu Timur, Tulang Bawang Regency.

Adanya kebutuhan untuk menilai apakah sistem pembiayaan perikanan telah efektif dalam hal mampu memberikan keuntungan dan memberikan manfaat. Selain itu, juga perlu untuk dianalisis apakah pembiayaan berbagi keuntungan-rugi dapat memberikan dampak positif pada kebaikan usaha perikanan dan menyumbang penurunan kemiskinan di masyarakat. Oleh karena itu, tujuan penelitian ini disusun sebagai berikut:

1. Menganalisis dan memahami background, sistem, nilai-nilai dasar dari program Kemitraan Bumidipa sebagai salah satu program pembiayaan microfinance yang menerapkan prinsip berbagi keuntungan-rugi.
2. Menyelidiki dan menganalisis penghambat yang mungkin menyebabkan kegagalan program.
3. Menganalisis efektivitas pembiayaan berdasarkan perspektif anggota.

METODE PENELITIAN

Populasi dan Sampel


Analisis Data

Model Miles dan Huberman

continuously until it is completed, so that the data is already saturated. Data analysis stages are consisted of data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing / verification.

Likert Scale

Likert scale are used to measure attitudes, opinions and perceptions of a person or group of people on social phenomena. This is the consideration of the use of Likert scale in this study. The next step is to do the scoring on the data to be classified and grouped in the category-specific categories. The determination of the total score for each category is as follows:

Total Score = total respondent’s x score per category

The respondents’ assessments for the effectivity of Kemitraan Bumidipa financing could be examined from 4 aspects, namely the application process, realization of financing, repayment of financing, and its impact perceived by members. The total score for each procedure is ranged between 100 and 300. This score is obtained by calculating the lowest and highest scores with the number of questions in each procedure and also the number of respondents. While the interval for each category is obtained from the difference between the total score divided by the highest possible response categories and it’s then reduced by 1 (Sugiyono 2011).

\[
\text{Interval} = \frac{\text{Max Score - Min Score}}{\text{Answer Category}} - 1 \quad (1)
\]

The interval for every category is 199. Based on this result, the categories are classified as follows:
1. Not effective if total scores between 300 and 499
2. Quite effective if total scores between 500 and 699
3. Effective if total scores between 700 and 900

The results of the assessment will provide a conclusion of the effectiveness for every stage of financing managed by Kemitraan Bumidipa to their members. Finally, it will be give some recommendations that might be useful to improvement of the program.

**Poverty Analysis**

**Headcount Ratio**

Headcount Ratio Index (H) measures the number of shrimp farmers whose income was below the poverty line as a percentage of the population observed. The poverty line used were household poverty line per month, which is calculated based on individual poverty line and then converted to household poverty line per month. Formula for measuring headcount ratio is as follows:

\[
H = \frac{q}{n} \quad (2)
\]

Where:
- H = headcount ratio
- q = number of shrimp farmers that was q below the poverty line
- n = number of observation

The family poverty line is obtained by multiplying the poverty line per capita per month with the average of family size. The poverty line of Tulang Bawang Regency in 2014 is Rp 307,348.96, - per capita per month. The average amount of household is the result of the ratio of the total population by the number of households in Tulang Bawang regency. In 2014, the total population of Tulang Bawang regency is 423,710 inhabitants and the number of households is 116,919.

The average amount of household =

\[
\text{Average amount of household} = \frac{\text{Total population Tulang Bawang}}{\text{Number of households}} = \frac{423,710}{116,919} = 3.624
\]

**Impact Of Profit-Loss Sharing Financing On Poverty**... (Shofiyanto, M. Findi, S. El Ayyubi)
So, the household poverty line of Tulang Bawang Regency is:
\[ K = \text{the poverty line per capita per month} \times \text{the average amount of household} \]
\[ = \text{Rp} \ 307,348.96, \times 3.624 \]
\[ = \text{Rp} \ 1,113,833, \text{per household per month} \] (3)

The purpose of the headcount ratio index as analysis tools in this research is to measure the number of shrimp farmer households who are under the poverty line and its changes after they got financial services from the program.

Poverty Gap Index and Income Gap Index

The poverty gap index and income gap index measure of the intensity of poverty. It is defined as the average poverty gap in the population as a proportion of the poverty line. The formula is as below

\[ P_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{z - y_i}{q} \] (4)

Where:
- P1 = Poverty gap Index
- Z = poverty line
- y_i = income of household-i
- q = number of households that are below the poverty line (poor households)

\[ I = \sum_{i \in S(z)} \frac{g_i}{q^z} \] (5)

Where:
- I = Income gap ratio
- g_i = the gap between poverty line and poor household income
- q = number of poor households
- z = poverty line

Sen Index

Sen Index of Poverty or poverty severity index is used to explain the inequality of income among the poor (Clark et al., 1981). Formula for Sen Index:

\[ P_2 = H \left[ I + (1 - I)G_p \right] \] (6)

Where:
- H = Headcount ratio
- I = Income-gap ratio
- G_p = Gini coefficient of poor households

Research Framework

Fisheries is the sector that has huge potential in Indonesia. It can be understood from the fact that Indonesia is a maritime country that is rich in its resources. One of the main important factors needed by fisheries business to support the development of this sector is capital. However, characteristics of coastal societies that is still poor created a barrier to access it. Therefore, it’s necessary to find a financing model that is affordable and suitable with the criteria of coastal societies.

Profit-loss sharing financing applied by sharia microfinance institution is believed to be one of the solution for this problem. This scheme offers a fair transaction for fisheries business as it shares risks and profit that may happen in business. In the end, there is a need to evaluate the effectiveness of the financing that has been implemented in every stage in order to assess its attainment. Besides, It should be outlined the factors that could cause the failure of the program. Finally, it will be analyzed how profit-loss sharing financing might contribute on poverty alleviation for coastal societies as it is expected to increase their income and business.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Description of Kemitraan Bumidipa

Dipasena is a shrimp aquaculture area located in East Rawajitu District, Tulang Bawang Regency, Lampung with 16 250 hectares on width. This area is divided into eight villages, namely Bumi Sentausa, Bumi Dipasena Utama, Bumi Dipasena Agung, Bumi Dipasena Jaya, Bumi Dipasena Mulia, Bumi Dipasena Makmur, Bumi Dipasena Sejahtera, and Bumi Dipasena...
Abadi. Every village is consisted of 2 blocks and each of them is divided into 6 sub-bloc (equivalent with RW). Dipasena was operational area of P.T. Dipasena Citra Darmaja partnership formerly. However, as the company went bankrupt, Dipasena is managed independently by the farmers there.

Over the past few years, Dipasena farmers got major problem related to shrimp’s cultivation. This is mainly due to difficulty in getting access to capital from financial institutions for the cultivation, in addition to the lingering illness of shrimp aquaculture. The absence of capital is caused by the difficulty for farmers which are poor in majority to provide required collateral for shrimps’ cultivation. These constraints led to the decreased of farmers’ productivity.

This problem, then triggered P3UW (Association of Dipasena’s Shrimp Farmers) as the organization of shrimp farmers there to set the right partnership model to be applied for the shrimp farmers. After conducting long study and discussion, P3UW through KPBD (Cooperative of Dipasena’s Shrimp Farmers) established P.T. Bumidipa in 2013. The management of this company are the professionals recruited by P3UW. P.T. Bumidipa has a working structure that provides services in three main areas, namely:

a. Operations and Marketing. The services provided in this area include:
   1. Cultivation, harvesting and post-harvesting.
   2. Consulting services to partners and farmers regarding shrimp cultivation.
   3. Maintenance of production facilities.
   4. Research and development

b. Finance and others. The service provided in this area include:
   1. Administration and investment.
   2. Transaction management for farming and non-farming field.
   3. Administration for supporting facilities of production.

c. Community development. The services provided in this area are include:
   1. Non-farming management
   2. The development of education and health quality of society
   3. Maintenance of public and social facilities
   4. The development of social environment

P.T. Bumidipa, along with P3UW and KPBD then, set a program called Kemitraan Bumidipa. It provides financing addressed for the shrimp farming in Dipasena. It applies profit-loss sharing system based on Islamic teaching. Profits are shared between the investors, management, and farmers. The program is committed on welfare of farmers in the partnership. It could be seen from the percentage of profit for shrimp farmers, which is the highest one among other parties. The ratio of profit is set on 80% for farmers, 15% for investors, and 5% for the management of the program and it’s based on net profit of the farming-business.

Kemitraan Bumidipa also shows commitment in enforcing the sharia compliance with imposing zakat for farmers at the end of every business period. The amount of zakat is according to money zakat. The proportion of zakat is 2.5% of gross profit from shrimp farming business.

On its management, the capital given to the farmers isn’t by money, but in the form of saprotam (input for shrimp cultivation) such as shrimp seed, shrimp feed, and fertilizer. It’s given regularly based on the needs of farmers during cultivation period. The purpose of this mechanism is to ensure that the capital provided is used for productive purposes and facilitate the partners so that they are no longer need to think about procurement of saprotam. Besides,
management of Kemitraan Bumidipa is committed to provide cheap input for shrimp cultivation, but high on its quality. As this input will be operational cost for Farming business, the less of the cost the higher the profit could be. Indeed, that become an advantage for the members of Kemitraan Bumidipa.

In order to deal with the investment guarantees problem, management of program set CRU (Reserve of Business Ratio) fund, which is imposed on members who succeed in their business. The CRU ratio itself is 10% of operational cost. This fund is used to cover the losses from failed members in the business, so that they don’t bear the loss and capital isn’t reduced. The CRU mechanism is a form *tabarru* (bear each other) and *ta’awun* (help each other) among farmers.

Furthermore, to overcome the weak accounting system, Kemitraan Bumidipa collaborates with Sharia bank in managing its capital. With this collaboration, the program will be able to manage its finance to be accountable, professional, and transparent for shrimp farmers. The benefit for Sharia bank is from investors endowment fund as well as cash transactions in the program.

The overall calculation of profit-loss system in Kemitraan Bumidipa Program is described in figure 1. Based on the figure, total revenue of farmers’ business is deducted by operating costs of cultivation to get gross profit, which further reduced again by CRU pieces (10% of operational cost) and zakat (2.5% of gross profit). The result of this reduction, called net profit, is then shared with a percentage of 80% for farmers, 15% of investors, and 5% for management.

The Obstacles of Kemitraan Bumidipa Success

Kemitraan Bumidipa is set to be an alternative of financing for shrimp farmers in Dipasena as they couldn’t access it from formal capital institution. It’s expected that the capital given will stimulate shrimp farming performance in order to be successful. However, on its application, there are some factors that might cause failure of the program. These factors are as follows:

a. Farming Environment

In recent periods the productivity of shrimp farming were sharply decreased due to environment factors. Basically, shrimp cultivation are vulnerable to changes in natural conditions such as changes in the weather, the season and the condition of pond. Dipasena environment is untreated well so it caused problems such as water pollution and made cultivation be not conducive. Besides, the majority of shrimp farmers still rely on the chemicals product that contribute to environmental pollution. The emergence of shrimp disease as a derivation of the polluted environment became main cause of cultivation failure. Currently, shrimps were attacked by a disease called white feces disease (Teluk Putih) that is caused by virus. This virus spreads rapidly and usually affects the shrimp aged 20-30 days. The symptoms are the shrimps wouldn’t be fed, particularly in the evening and shortly floating white feces on the water. Then, many shrimps died before harvest time. While the shrimp farmers don’t have accurate diagnosis to tackle the problem, it causes the loss of their farming business.

b. Technical Problem

Infrastructure and technical problem also have contribution on the failure of shrimp cultivation of members. Limited infrastructure and farming technique that is only based on the farmers experience caused the shrimp cultivation process do not meet its standard operational procedure. As the
consequence, it’s hard to control and predict the result of shrimp farming business.

c. Shrimp Price Fluctuation
   Another factor which caused the failure of shrimp farming business in general is fall in shrimp prices. That is mainly due to the oversupply of shrimps in domestic market. On the other hand, the operational cost of shrimp cultivation remains constant. As the result, net income received by shrimp farmers reduced dramatically.
   According to Amri and Kanna (2008), vaname shrimp price in the domestic market is still fluctuating at the moment looks very high. When it was first cultivated in Indonesia, the price of vaname shrimp could reach Rp 70,000 / kg, even more. However, as many farmers switched to cultivate this shrimp, the price then falls as the the effect of oversupply.

d. Moral Hazard Problem
   Member’s dishonesty is a serious problem facing the Partnership Bumidipa. As explained before, the member who suffered losses in farming business do not bear the risk as the result of CRU mechanism. Finally, there are some members who conducted moral hazard act such as falsify their farming result and sold saprotam (feed, drugs) provided to external parties without any confirmation to management. These practices are not only cause the loss for Kemitraan Bumidipa, but also the other members as a result of the decline in the program’s ability to finance them. Actually, moral hazard problem may also arise because of the difficulty to supervise all members of the the program that spread randomly in Dipasena.

Sadr and Iqbal (2000) stated that the strategies to minimize the problem of moral hazard were the increase intensive supervision and monitoring of the implementation of the project or business. The effort is still required even though the agreement among the parties involved has done well. Monitoring would be considered as extra costs but it should also be seen as an investment to build a knowledge base about the quality of entrepreneurs and projects. It is also in line with Ahmed (2000) who found that random auditing produces an incentive structure in reducing the moral hazard problem. In addition to the threat and reward or penalty, random audits also provide incentives for businesses to not report a lower profit than the actual situation.

Analysis of Effectivity on Profit-Loss Sharing Financing Program

   Analysis of effectivity on financing managed by Kemitraan Bumidipa is examined based on the perception of its members. In this study, the effectiveness of the program are assessed based on 4 aspects/stages, namely the application process, realization process, repayment of financing, and its impact perceived by members.

Analysis of Effectivity on Application Aspect

   The application process is the first stage that has to be fulfilled by shrimp farmers who want to be members of Kemitraan Bumidipa. The indicators used in this stage are the requirements of application, the procedure of financing, and the investment given by members in order to get financial services.
Table 1. Analysis of Financing Effectivity on Application Stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Score A (3)</th>
<th>Score B (2)</th>
<th>Score C (1)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Requirement of Application</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Procedure of Financing</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Minimum Amount of Investment</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Score</strong></td>
<td><strong>893</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data; Score 1, Score 2, Score 3 (respondent)
Where: Score 1 for answer (number 1) difficult, (number 2) slow, (number 3) high
Score 2 for answer (number 1, 2, dan 3) moderate
Score 3 for answer (number 1) easy, (number 2) fast, (number 3) low

Based on Table 1 it is clearly stated that, the requirements of application process on Kemitraan Bumidipa is relatively easy. The shrimp farmers only needed a photocopy of ID card, letter of recommendation from the local village, and Sharia bank account that has been provided by management of the program in order to apply the financing.

Furthermore, there is a requirement to open the Syariah Mandiri Bank account with certain balance. One of the main purpose of the account is as investment for the members. This fund is then collected and managed by the management. Besides, the existence of this account also makes the accounting system of the program be more professional and transparent. From Table 1, all respondents said that the minimum investment needed to open account is relatively low, which is only Rp200,000, - to get financing with amount approximately of Rp 40 million. Overall, the application process of Kemitraan Bumidipa is effective with score of 893.

Analysis of Effectivity on Realization Aspect

In this study, the assessment of the effectiveness of the realization stage is determined by 3 indicators, namely the realization of financing (procurement of financing), the administrative costs during the realization of financing or upon saprotam procurement, as well as the ability of the program to meet the financing needs.

Table 2. Analysis of Financing Effectivity on Realization Stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Score A (3)</th>
<th>Score B (2)</th>
<th>Score C (1)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Realization of Financing</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Administration Cost</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ability to Fulfil Financing Need</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Rata-Rata Score</strong></td>
<td><strong>815</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source : Primary Data; Score 1, Score 2, Score 3 (respondent)
Where : Score 1 for answer (number 1) slow, (number 2) high, (number 3) able
Score 2 for answer (number 1, 2, and 3) moderate
Score 3 for answer (number 1) fast, (number 2) low, (number 3) unable

Table 2 shows that 19% of respondents said that the idle time of financing realization is relatively long with more than 1 month since it is proposed, and 20% of respondents said that the
idle time is moderate with a month. While 61% of respondents said that the financing realization is quite fast with less than a month. This is because the limited amount of the capital to fund a lot of members simultaneously. So, the management set the rotation of capital among its member and it causes idle time for realization process.

In terms of program ability to fulfill financing need, as many as 27% of respondents said that the amount of capital given has barely fitted members' need on financing. While 73% of respondents consider that this program is capable to fulfill the financing need. Overall, Kemitraan Bumidipa is relatively effective in realization stage with score 815.

**Analysis of Effectivity on Repayment Aspect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Score A (3)</th>
<th>Score B (2)</th>
<th>Score C (1)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Amount of CRU, Infaq, and Other Dues</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Repayment Period</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Proportion of Profit Sharing for Management and Investor</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Score</strong></td>
<td><strong>727</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data; Score 1, Score 2, Score 3 (respondents)

Where:
- Score 1 for answer (number 1) high, (number 2) short, (number 3) high
- Score 2 for answer (number 1, 2, and 3) moderate
- Score 3 for answer (number 1) low, (number 2) long, (number 3) low

Based on Table 3, 68% of respondents stated that the amount of deduction, especially CRU is burdensome. That is because they understand that the CRU fund is used to cover losses of the members failed in business. This is the commitment of the members to bear each other (tabarru') and help each other (ta'awun). While zakat is a form of fulfillment of sharia compliance. On the other hand, 15% of respondents said that the deduction, particularly CRU is quite burdensome and 17% of respondents stated that the amount of deduction is onerous. This is because the percentage of CRU is 10% of the total cost that make it high.

In terms of cultivation period, 81% of respondents said the time limit set by the management was moderate, while 17% of respondents said it was too fast. Determination of the maximum period of 85 days of cultivation is based on projections of the management of the standard cultivation time and capital has to be rotated in order to finance other members. Therefore, it’s better if the management could determine the maximum number of members...
that fit with the availability of capital so the financing could be done optimally.

In terms of profit proportion for management and investor partners, as many as 92% of respondents stated that the proportion is low. This proves the commitment of Kemitraan Bumidipa in the welfare of its members by providing a large portion of the profit share. In total, Kemitraan Bumidipa is relatively effective at the repayment stage with score 727.

**Analysis of Effectivity on Impact for Business Aspect**

On the impact of the financing, the effectiveness of Kemitraan Bumidipa measured by analyzing the development of business, increased profits, and improved members’ cultivation asset.

**Table 4. Analysis of Financing Effectivity on Impact to Business Condition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Score A (3)</th>
<th>Score B (2)</th>
<th>Score C (1)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Business Development</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Asset Increase</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data; Score 1, Score 2, Score 3 (respondent)
Where: Score 1 for answer (number 1, 2, and 3) decrease
Score 2 for answer (number 1, 2, and 3) constant
Score 3 for answer (number 1, 2 and 3) increase

According to Table 4, in terms of business development 37% of respondents said that there are significant development on their business since they became members of Kemitraan Bumidipa, 38% of respondents said that there is no development on business while 25% indicated a decline on it. At the profit level, as many as 31% of respondents said that there is an increase in profits since they became members of Kemitraan Bumidipa. While 65% of respondents actually stated a decline in profits and the rest stated that it tends to be constant. In terms of the increase in assets, 35% of respondents said that there is an increase in assets, especially shrimp cultivation asset as they became members of the program. While 60% of respondents said there has been no increase in assets and 35% of those surveyed consider their farming assets increased due to their success in business.

Undeveloped Business is not caused by lack of good financing managed by Kemitraan Bumidipa, but more likely because it happened shrimp farming failure for recent periods. Less development of the cultivation is caused by natural factors such as unstable of farming environments well as shrimp disease which occurred in recent periods have led to the failure of cultivation. In addition, many members are still farmed shrimp without following standard of cultivation as described previously. Therefore, it’s needed for intensive assistance conducted by the management so that the members can do shrimp cultivation based on its standard. Overall, Kemitraan Bumidipa still quite effective in providing the impact on business conditions with a score of 608.

**Recapitulation of Financing Effectivity on All Aspects**

Total average score of the overall financing aspect amounted to 760.7. The data is shown in
Table 5. The result of recapitulation indicates that the financing managed by Kemitraan Bumidipa is classified as effective. However, the impact of the financing still has a low value sufficient effectiveness. This is because there is a failure of shrimp farming of the members in recent periods.

Table 5. Recapitulation of Respondents’ Perception on Financing Effectivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Application Process</td>
<td>895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Realization Process</td>
<td>813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Repayment Process</td>
<td>727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Impact on Business</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Average of Score</td>
<td>760.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data

Impact of Profit-loss Sharing Financing on Poverty Indices of Shrimp Farmers

Paired T-test

Paired t tests were used to determine the difference in members’ income between before and after getting financing. The formula is as follows (Walpole 2005):

**T-test hypothesis:**

$H_0: \mu = 0$

$H_1: \mu \neq 0$

**T-test statistic:**

$$t_{hit} = \frac{d - d0}{s_d/\sqrt{n}}$$

(7)

Where:

$d$ = the difference of income

$s_d$ = standard deviation

$n$ = number of observation

**T-test criteria:**

- $t_{hit} < t_{table}$: $H_0$ is not rejected, means there are no significance difference of income before and after getting financial service at the significance level of 1%
- $t_{hit} > t_{table}$: $H_0$ is rejected, means there are significance difference of income before and after getting financial service at the significance level of 1%

Based on this calculation, the value of $t_{hit}$ is -4.2125, where the absolute value $| -4.2125 | = 4.2125$, which more than $t_{table}$ value of 2.576. It means that there is significance difference of income’s member between before and after getting financial service at the significance level of 1%. With $t_{hit}$ value is negative, it means there is a decrease in their income after getting the financial service from Kemitraan Bumidipa instead.

Analysis of Poverty Indices Change on Shrimp Farmers

After analyzing the income difference by paired t test, the assessment using poverty indicators is conducted to see clearly the change of poverty indices in shrimp farmers. According to Table 6, the headcount ratio value before becoming a member of Kemitraan Bumidipa is 0.08, which means that only 8% of members’ family classified as poor according to the household poverty line. After getting financial services, this index increased to 0.33. While the value of poverty gap index increased from Rp 212,833 to Rp 423,393. That means the average difference between poor shrimp farmers income and the poverty line is Rp 206,539 before becoming a member of the program. Then, it increased to Rp 417,099 after getting financial
service. The same result happened to income gap index where it rose from 0.19 to 0.38.

Table 6. Poverty Indices Comparison Before and After Being Member

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poverty Index</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 (Rupiah)</td>
<td>212,833</td>
<td>423,393</td>
<td>210,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gp</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sen index or severity poverty index also increased from 0.04 to 0.21 after the shrimp farmers became members of the program. To see if there are gaps aquaculture farmers income both before and after a member of the program, the analysis used Gini coefficient of the poor (Gp). Gp value shrimp farmers before becoming a member of the partnership is at 0.32. That is, the income gap of shrimp farmers before becoming a member of the partnership moderate. After becoming a member of the program, this gap increased to 0.44. This means fish farmer's income gap actually increased after a member of the partnership. Nevertheless, the income gap is still relatively moderate for shrimp farmers either before or after a member of the program.

The increase in the value of the indices of poverty in the post shrimp farmers become members of the partnership is due to the decrease in revenue received shrimp farmers at the time a member of Kemitraan Bumidipa. While the decline in farmers' income is caused by the failure of the shrimp cultivation that are generally experienced by shrimp farmers Dipasena in recent periods. So, this condition is not happened because they became members of the program.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion

Based on the analysis result discussed in previous chapter, it revealed that the core values of Kemitraan Bumidipa are the profit sharing system that based on actual profit of shrimp farmers business, the collaboration with some parties include sharia bank to provide professional financial services, the model of joint liability reflected from the mechanism of CRU (Cadangan Rasio Usaha) as investment guarantee, and the right form of financing that direct to productive purpose. In other hand, the factors that could cause failure of the program are related to farming business; namely the the unstable environment of shrimp farming, technical problem of shrimp farmers, and the decreased of shrimp’s price in domestic market. Another factor is the moral hazard behavior of its members. According to effectivity analysis, overall this program has been effective. However, there are some indicators such as payback period and the impact of financing that should be optimized. Based on poverty indices result, headcount ratio index (H), poverty gap index (P1), income gap index (I) and sen index of farmer increase after becoming members of Kemitraan Bumidipa as the result of the failure of their shrimp’s cultivation.

Recommendation

According to the conclusion of this research mentioned before, there are some recommendations that may be useful for Islamic financial sector, coastal societies empowerment, and any related research in the future:

1. Sharia microfinance institution should be more selective in choosing its clients in order to avoid moral hazard act.
2. In case of financing, it should be a
supervision mechanism to prevent the clients
from moral hazard act.
3. Government and related institutions must
give support and assistance for coastal
societies in case of its empowerments and
economy improvement.
4. There is a need for further research
particularly related to the unstable
environment of shrimp farming and the
fluctuation of shrimp’s price.
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