Mapping the Transformative Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Journalistic Practices

Syamsuddin Aziz

Department of Communication Science, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Universitas Hasanuddin, Makassar, Indonesia
*Corresponding author, e-mail: syamsudinaziz@unhas.ac.id

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18196/jkm.v17i1.25112

Article Info

Article history:
Received 08 Dec 2024
Revised 22 Feb 2025
Accepted 26 Mei 2025

ABSTRACT

This study examines the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on journalism by evaluating the advantages, disadvantages, and implications of AI adoption in news production and reporting. Through a scholarly discourse, the study explores how AI technologies are transforming journalistic practices by influencing content creation and news delivery. Using a meta-analytic method to analyze data from independent studies on AI adoption in media journalism, the study assesses the potential benefits of AI, such as improved efficiency and enhanced news accuracy. At the same time, it highlights challenges, including ethical concerns, potential biases, and the evolving role of journalists within technology-driven industries. By examining both the positive and negative effects of AI, this study provides understanding of the complex relationship between AI and media journalism. The findings offer valuable insights for media professionals, researchers, and policymakers involved in shaping the future of news production and distribution.

Keywords: AI-driven Journalism; Artificial Intelligence; Automated Journalism; Journalistic Practice; News Truthfulness

ABSTRAK

Studi ini membahas dampak kecerdasan buatan (AI) terhadap jurnalisme, dengan mengevaluasi kelebihan, kekurangan, dan implikasi dari pengadopsian AI dalam produksi dan pelaporan berita. Studi ini mengeksplorasi, melalui wacana skolastik tentang topik tersebut, bagaimana teknologi AI membentuk kembali lanskap praktik jurnalistik dengan memengaruhi pembuatan konten dan penyampaian berita. Menerapkan metode meta-analitik untuk menganalisis data dari studi independen tentang adopsi AI dalam jurnalisme media, penelitian ini juga mengkaji potensi manfaat AI dalam hal meningkatkan efisiensi dan meningkatkan kebenaran berita. Namun, ini juga menekankan kesulitan yang ditimbulkan oleh AI, seperti masalah etika, potensi bias, dan perubahan peran jurnalis dalam industri yang digerakkan oleh teknologi. Meneliti efek positif dan negatif dari AI, penelitian ini berkontribusi pada pemahaman yang bernuansa tentang hubungan rumit antara AI dan jurnalisme media. Temuan ini memiliki implikasi bagi para profesional media, peneliti, dan pembuat kebijakan yang membentuk masa depan produksi dan distribusi berita.

Kata Kunci: Jurnalisme Berbasis AI; Jurnalisme Otomatis; Kebenaran Berita; Kecerdasan Buatan; Praktik Jurnalistik

INTRODUCTION

This study maps out the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on journalistic practices by analyzing how AI-driven automation reshapes content production while also raising complex ethical, legal, and professional dilemmas that challenge traditional newsroom roles and journalistic integrity. AI-driven automation has significantly increased news production efficiency, with major media organizations like the Associated Press generating approximately 40,000 news stories

annually, expanding financial report coverage from 300 to 3,700 per quarter (Goethe-Institut, 2024; Carlson, 2018). While AI enhances newsroom productivity by automating routine reporting, over 80% of media professionals express ethical concerns regarding transparency, bias, and accountability (Statista, 2023). Additionally, the widespread adoption of AI contributes to employment instability, as evidenced by a two-thirds decline in newspaper journalist positions in the United States over the past two decades (Brookings Institution, 2024). Despite advancements in AI models such as GPT-4, challenges, including misinformation and deepfakes, necessitate regulatory frameworks to uphold journalistic integrity and public trust (Columbia Journalism Review, 2024).

The integration of AI in journalism has disrupted conventional practices, particularly in automating content production. Research highlights AI's increasing role in financial reporting, sports journalism, and routine news updates, reducing human intervention in fact-based reporting (Carlson, 2018; Thurman et al., 2017; Graefe, 2016). While AI improves efficiency and scalability, concerns persist regarding content reliability and ethical oversight. Scholars argue that biases embedded in training datasets can lead to skewed narratives, while the absence of human editorial judgment raises accountability questions (Verma, 2024). Moreover, audience trust in AI-generated news remains lower than in human-authored articles, signaling potential shifts in news credibility perceptions (Graefe, 2016). These concerns highlight the need for empirical research and ethical guidelines to ensure AI's responsible integration into journalism.

The ethical implications of algorithmic journalism have also sparked significant debate, particularly regarding opacity and accountability. Research highlights how media portrayals of AI ethics shape public discourse and influence governance frameworks (Miroschnichenko, 2018; Broussard et al., 2019; Guannah et al., 2020; Ouchchy et al., 2020; Frick et al., 2021). Ouchchy (2020) emphasizes that growing media attention to AI ethics reflects its increasing presence in news production, underscoring the urgency of regulatory discussions.

AI's integration into journalism follows a long history of computational tools in reporting. The use of computers in journalism dates back to 1952, when they were first employed to predict U.S. presidential election results (Cox, 2000). The subsequent rise of Computer-Assisted Reporting (CAR) in the 1970s and 1980s revolutionized investigative journalism by enabling data-driven reporting (McGregor, 2013; Coddington, 2015). By the 1990s and early 2000s, newsrooms increasingly relied on digital tools, culminating in the emergence of data journalism.

The 2010s marked a pivotal shift with AI's widespread adoption in news production. Automated journalism gained momentum in 2014, with organizations like The Associated Press, Reuters, and Bloomberg deploying AI-powered systems to generate financial, sports, and weather reports (Carlson, 2018; Thurman et al., 2017). By 2015, AI models such as Wordsmith (Automated Insights) and Quill (Narrative Science) enabled large-scale content automation with minimal human intervention. The rapid advancements in deep learning and natural language processing (NLP) since 2018 have further refined AI-generated journalism, culminating in models like GPT-3 (2020) and GPT-4 (2023), which significantly enhance AI's ability to produce sophisticated news content (Graefe, 2016).

An essential distinction in the evolution of AI in journalism lies between traditional AI and generative AI, each with different implications for news production, distribution, and credibility. Traditional AI in journalism primarily focuses on rule-based automation and data processing, such as automated content generation using structured templates, predictive analytics for audience engagement, and AI-assisted fact-checking systems. These applications rely on predefined algorithms and structured datasets, enabling news organizations to automate repetitive reporting tasks like financial updates and sports summaries. By following deterministic rules, traditional AI ensures consistency and efficiency in producing factual, data-driven reports while maintaining human oversight in editorial decisions.

Generative AI represents an advanced form of artificial intelligence capable of producing human-like text, images, and videos through deep learning models. Unlike traditional AI, which enhances efficiency and automates structured reporting, generative AI leverages vast datasets and probabilistic techniques to generate original content. This distinction is particularly significant in journalism, where AI-generated news articles, opinion pieces, and multimedia content raise concerns about accuracy, misinformation, and editorial accountability. As AI blurs the lines between human and machine-generated narratives, the need for ethical frameworks and editorial guidelines becomes critical to ensuring responsible AI integration in newsrooms.

The incorporation of AI into journalism has led to profound transformations, reshaping how information is acquired, processed, generated, and disseminated (Vila, 2021; Guanah et al., 2020). AI now plays a crucial role in tasks such as data analysis, content automation, and real-time news

monitoring, streamlining newsroom operations while expanding the scope of investigative journalism through more efficient data processing. Beyond automation, AI also enables content generation and personalization, particularly with generative AI, which presents both opportunities and challenges. While AI enhances news quality and efficiency, it also raises concerns about editorial oversight, bias, and misinformation (Pavlik, 2023). Therefore, clear ethical guidelines are essential to maintaining journalistic integrity in an increasingly AI-driven media landscape.

Scholars like Moran and Shaikh (2022) have extensively examined the implications of AI-driven journalism by analyzing media coverage and experimentation in this emerging field. Their study underscores the importance of addressing ethical concerns and ensuring the responsible integration of AI in journalistic practices. A key challenge is how these concerns influence journalistic decision-making. The increasing reliance on AI for content production and data analysis requires journalists to verify AI-generated content, mitigate algorithmic bias, and maintain journalistic standards of fairness and objectivity (Duan et al., 2019; Gondwe, 2023; Frost & Carter, 2020). Newsrooms must determine the appropriate role of AI in investigative reporting and establish necessary editorial oversight to prevent misinformation. The opacity of AI models further complicates these efforts, as journalists may struggle to assess the credibility and reliability of AI-generated outputs.

The changing role of journalists in AI-driven newsrooms also presents challenges. While AI automates routine tasks, such as summarizing press releases and generating financial reports, journalists are shifting toward oversight, analysis, and interpretative reporting. This transition allows for a greater focus on investigative work but raises concerns about deskilling and job displacement. Ethical considerations regarding transparency and audience trust further complicate AI adoption, as the lack of clear disclosure about AI's role in news production could erode trust in journalism. The absence of standardized ethical frameworks for AI use in journalism (Vakkuri et al., 2019) highlights the need for newsroom policies that establish clear boundaries while ensuring human editorial judgment remains central.

A review of the existing literature reveals a gap in understanding the ethical and legal implications of AI-driven journalism. To comprehensively assess AI's impact on journalism, further research is required to examine its ethical and legal consequences and develop a framework for responsible AI use. Therefore, this study aims to explore the key concerns surrounding AI implementation in journalism, with a particular focus on ethical considerations. To achieve this objective, the research proposes two main research questions:

RQ 1: What are the ethical and legal implications arising from prior research on the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in the domain of journalism, and how do these implications influence journalistic practices and the media as a whole?

RQ 2: What are the key challenges encountered in AI-based news reporting, as reported by scholars in their studies?

METHODS

This study employs a meta-analysis approach to investigate prior research conducted by scholars, experts, and researchers on the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on journalism. It also explores the conceptual frameworks and theories surrounding the advancement of AI technology in journalism. The meta-analytic method refers to a systematic research approach in which data from various studies are combined for analysis to answer specific research questions (Klimo et al., 2014). To ensure a focused and up-to-date analysis, the study includes journal articles published between 2018 and 2023. This period was selected due to significant transformations in AI-driven journalism, particularly with rapid advancements in machine learning, natural language processing, and automated content generation. Since 2018, major developments such as the increasing use of AI-powered news bots, improvements in deep learning for news analysis, and the growing ethical discourse surrounding AI in journalism have reshaped the industry. By focusing on this timeframe, the study ensures it captures the most relevant and contemporary research on AI's evolving role in journalism, offering insights into its latest trends, challenges, and implications.

4

Table 1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Criteria	Inclusion	Exclusion
Publication Type	Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and research reports	Non-peer-reviewed sources (e.g., blogs, opinion pieces, editorials, news articles)
Publication Period	Studies published between 2018 and 2023	Studies published before 2018 or after 2023
Language	English	Studies published in languages other than English
Content Relevance	Research explicitly discussing AI's role in journalism, including: – AI applications (e.g., automated journalism, data journalism, robotic journalism) – Ethical considerations of AI in news production – Impact of AI on journalistic workflows and newsroom dynamics – Conceptual and theoretical discussions on AI in journalism – Empirical studies examining AI-driven transformations in journalism	– Studies focusing solely on AI's technological development without application to journalism – Studies that focus on AI in other industries (e.g., healthcare, finance, marketing)
Geographical Scope	Studies focusing on technologically developed regions with significant AI adoption in journalism: – North America, Europe, and East Asia	Studies focusing on regions with minimal AI adoption in journalism
Methodological Scope	– Empirical studies (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods) – Conceptual and theoretical papers on AI in journalism	– Duplicate studies with no new findings – Studies lacking substantial data, depth, or empirical evidence

(Source: Formulated by Researcher)

To maintain analytical rigor, this study also limits its scope to research examining AI implementation in journalism within specific geographic and media landscapes. As outlined in the inclusion and exclusion criteria table (see Table 1), the study prioritizes peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and research reports published between 2018 and 2023, ensuring that only recent and methodologically robust studies are included. Given that AI adoption varies across regions, with some media systems being more advanced in their integration of AI-driven tools, this study focuses on technologically developed regions such as North America, Europe, and East Asia, where AI is actively shaping news production, distribution, and consumption. Studies from regions with minimal AI adoption in journalism are excluded to maintain relevance and depth in the analysis. Additionally, the inclusion criteria specify that only research explicitly discussing AI's role in journalism—whether through its applications, ethical considerations, or impact on newsroom dynamics—is considered. By applying these methodological filters, this study ensures a more detailed and meaningful exploration of AI's impact on journalistic practices in regions where its use is more widespread and where regulatory, ethical, and editorial discussions around AI-driven journalism are more developed.

A systematic multi-stage process was applied to ensure the transparent and replicable collection, selection, and analysis of studies. The literature search was conducted using Google Scholar, Scite, and SciSpace, selected for their broad academic coverage and AI-powered search capabilities, allowing precise retrieval of relevant materials. A targeted keyword strategy was employed using terms such as "Artificial Intelligence (AI)," "AI in journalism," "automated journalism," "AI influences," "data journalism," "robotic journalism," "algorithmic journalism," and "computational journalism." Boolean operators were used to refine search results, filter out unrelated studies, and improve search relevance.

These keywords were chosen to capture a diverse range of studies examining AI's impact on journalism. "Effect of AI on journalism" was included to identify research focusing on AI-driven

changes in journalistic workflows, ethical concerns, and the broader implications of AI integration. "Automated journalism" is a widely recognized term referring to AI-driven news production, enabling the identification of studies discussing machine-generated content and its influence on newsrooms. The inclusion of "data journalism" is also significant, even before AI became prevalent in journalism. While data journalism originally emerged as a practice centered on using large datasets for investigative reporting and storytelling, its evolution has increasingly intersected with AI technologies. The study ensures that only high-quality and relevant research is included by applying specific inclusion and exclusion criteria. Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and research reports published between 2018 and 2023 that explicitly discuss AI's role in journalism, its applications, ethical considerations, and its impact on news production and distribution are included. Empirical studies, conceptual papers, and theoretical discussions that provide substantial insights into AI in journalism are also considered, provided they are published in English for consistency and accessibility.

After retrieving relevant studies, an initial screening process was conducted based on article titles, abstracts, and keywords. Articles that met the inclusion criteria proceeded to a full-text review, while those lacking relevance or depth in addressing AI's role in journalism were excluded. The selected studies were then subjected to qualitative content analysis using specialized software for systematic data management. Key aspects extracted from each study included research focus, methodological approach, and findings relevant to AI's influence on journalism. These extracted data points were coded and categorized to identify common patterns, recurring trends, and existing research gaps in AI-driven journalism.

Table 2: Procedures for Analyzing and Reviewing Data

No	Process	Description
1	Step 1: Search for journals and research reports	Utilize Google Scholar, Scite, and SciSpace to search for relevant research journals and reports
2	Step 2: Keyword Selection	These keywords capture a broad range of studies on AI's role in journalism. "Effect of AI on journalism" identifies research on AI-driven changes in workflows, ethics, and broader implications. "Automated journalism" refers to AI-driven news production, highlighting studies on machine-generated content and its newsroom impact. "Data journalism," though predating AI, remains relevant as it has increasingly integrated AI technologies for investigative reporting and storytelling
3	Step 3: Retrieve Results	Retrieve search results that include hyperlinks to the specified academic journals and research reports
4	Step 4: Read and Extract Data	Carefully examine the chosen academic journals and research reports in order to extract relevant data, including the findings and conclusions presented in them
5	Step 5: Coding	Employ software for qualitative data analysis to systematically code and categorise the extracted findings
6	Step 6: Identify AI Journalism Works	This inquiry seeks to ascertain the specific journalistic works that have utilized artificial intelligence (AI) technology, as well as those that integrate both human journalism and AI journalism
7	Step 7: Ethical Issues Analysis	This inquiry aims to examine the ethical concerns that researchers have raised in relation to the utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) technology within the field of journalism
8	Step 8: Examination of Emerging Issues	Perform a comprehensive analysis of the particular challenges that arise from the incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) technology into journalistic practices

(Source: Formulated by Researcher)

Following the coding process, a thematic analysis was performed to group findings into major themes, including the automation of journalistic practices and its impact on newsroom dynamics, ethical and legal challenges posed by AI-generated content, the shifting role of journalists in AI-augmented

reporting, and governance and regulation of AI in media industries. To enhance reliability and validity, multiple sources discussing similar issues were cross-checked, and any discrepancies were resolved through further literature evaluation and thematic refinement.

During the study, 58 journal articles and research reports were initially gathered. However, after a full-text review, eight articles were excluded due to irrelevance or lack of substantial data, reducing the dataset to 50 high-quality studies for final analysis. After processing all data and literature using qualitative data analysis software, the coding results were condensed to develop a clear and comprehensive understanding aligned with the research questions. This final integration step ensures that the study presents a cohesive synthesis of AI's impact on journalism, highlighting key areas that warrant further research. The Procedures for analyzing and reviewing data can be seen in the table 2.

In step 4 of the procedure mentioned above, it was found that 8 out of the 58 journal articles and research reports that were gathered did not fit with categories for evaluation, which entails reading and extracting data. Hence, it was imperative to exclude these eight journals. After all data and literature have been processed using qualitative data analysis software, the next step requires condensing the coding results to achieve a more thorough understanding in line with the research questions and analysis references.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study will be organized under two main sub-headings. The first sub-heading describes the findings based on research questions. The second sub-heading deals with discussing the findings to comprehensively understand the phenomenon.

Table 3: Grouping of Research Themes

Artificial Intelligence in Journalism	Ethical and Social Implications	Innovation and Technology in Media
Artificial intelligence assists journalists	The ethical issues of artificial intelligence	The future of public relations, advertising, and journalism
Artificial intelligence and journalism practice	Implementing ethics in AI	Tech giants, artificial intelligence, and the future of journalism
AI to bypass creativity for robot journalism	Ethical, legal, and social implications of AI	The challenges of print media journalism in the digital era
Artificial intelligence for decision making	Investigating journalistic values to inform AI technology design	The dilemma of adopting innovation in media
A hybrid formula between journalism and artificial intelligence	Automated journalism and the threat of legal liability	Social dynamics of AI support in creative writing
Artificial intelligence journalism and the problems it may bring	Believing journalists, AI, or fake news: the role of trust in media	Intersections between social media and TV
Algorithms and bots applied to journalism	Bias, journalistic endeavors, and the risks of artificial intelligence	Incorporating drone and AI to empower smart journalism via optimizing a propagation model
AI to bypass creativity	Challenges and opportunities for journalistic knowledge platforms	The development of computer-assisted reporting
Artificial intelligence for investigative reporting	A credibility analysis of news content with AI-authorship	CAR hits the mainstream
	Journalists' response and reporting of public emergencies in the era of artificial intelligence	Computational journalism, and computer-assisted reporting
	News media innovation reconsidered	Strategies for embedding AI-driven tools into journalistic work routines
	Making artificial intelligence work for investigative journalism	Artificial intelligence: practice and implications for journalism
	The role of artificial intelligence, big data, automation, and robotics	Authorship, by-lines, and full disclosure in automated journalism
		Automated digital journalism as models, languages, and storytelling

(Source: Classified and formulated by Researcher)

As stated in the introduction, this study focuses on two key questions: first, examines the legal and ethical implications that arise from the application of artificial intelligence in journalism practice. The second explores the main obstacles faced in AI-based news reporting, as reported in academic research. Table 3 shows a classification of the research themes selected by scholars, which have been organized into three broad categories: Artificial Intelligence in Journalism, Ethical and Social Implications, and Innovation and Technology in Media. The purpose of this categorization is to enhance clarity and facilitate comprehension.

With regard to the location where the research was carried out by the researchers, based on a review of the research method, it can be stated that the countries that became the research locations were China, Portugal, Pakistan, India, the Netherlands, Kazakhstan, Nigeria, India, the United States, Spain, Egypt, and Hungary. The study's findings may not fully capture global concerns in relation to the impact of AI on journalism, but they nonetheless offer a useful overview. The meta-analysis of this study undoubtedly highlights the revolutionary impacts that AI has had on journalistic practices in Asia, Europe, and America.

In particular, the researchers investigated the legal and ethical issues related to the influence of AI in journalistic practice. The researchers focused their research on the main subjects: Ethical and Social Issues, Bias and Discrimination Algorithms, Privacy and Accountability Issues, AI and Misinformation, Transparency & Accountability, Privacy & Bias, and Credibility & Accuracy. A detailed description of these problems, as explored by researchers, can be seen in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Research on Legal and Ethical Implications

Ethical and Social Concerns	This issue includes segments that discuss AI's ethical implications, transparency, accuracy, and social impacts.
Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination	This category includes all the coded segments that discuss the potential for AI systems and algorithms to perpetuate or amplify biases and discrimination.
Privacy and Accountability Issues	This category includes the coded segments that discuss concerns around privacy, civil liberties, and the issue of accountability when using AI systems.
AI and Misinformation	This category concerns the potential effects of AI-generated misinformation on individuals, society, or democratic processes.
Transparency & Accountability	These segments discuss the openness of AI systems, their decision-making process, and the need for entities using these systems to take responsibility for their actions.
Privacy & Bias	These segments discuss concerns about respecting individuals' personal data and the risk of non-objectivity in AI-generated content.
Credibility & Accuracy	These segments involve aspects related to the reliability and precision of AI systems and their content.

(Source: Classified and Formulated by Researcher)

Based on the previous explanation, the researchers found that the convergence of AI technology and journalism has catalyzed significant changes and opportunities in the media industry. For example, a study conducted by Bakke and Barland (2022) found that the industry is transitioning from an advertiser-centric to a reader-centric paradigm, with AI playing a central role. This paradigm shift not only offers an innovative platform for newspapers to improve journalistic quality but also challenges the traditional concept of quality journalism. This view aligns with research conducted by Gallofré Ocaña and Opdahl (2020), which found that AI is being leveraged to reshape newsrooms for editorial purposes and reduce news production costs. Collectively, these two studies demonstrate that while AI technologies can disrupt traditional journalism business models, they also provide opportunities for innovation and quality improvement.

The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has brought about a significant transformation in the realm of journalism, presenting both promising advantages and potential drawbacks. The study conducted by Leiser (2022) highlights AI's capacity to augment journalism through content personalization and the facilitation of investigative reporting. At the same time, AI implementation

introduces risks, such as biases embedded in training data and a lack of transparency in machine learning algorithms. Nguyen and Hekman (2022) examined these challenges by analyzing dominant frames in AI news reporting over the last decade, identifying potential biases and risks while emphasizing AI's role in fostering critical data literacy among audiences. Similarly, Vadapalli et al. (2018) explored AI-driven automation tools that generate science blog titles from research papers, illustrating AI's growing influence in content generation.

Recent studies further highlight AI's increasing role in shaping journalistic workflow and redefining editorial decision-making. Research by Van Dalen, A. (2024) indicates that AI-driven algorithms are not merely tools for automation but actively influence news selection processes, shape editorial judgments, and redefine the gatekeeping role of journalists. Meanwhile, Gilbert et al. (2024) emphasize AI's potential in optimizing real-time news verification, which is particularly crucial in combating misinformation in digital environments. This evolution underscores an ongoing shift where AI is no longer just a supplementary tool but an active agent in content curation and dissemination, thus raising critical ethical and epistemological questions regarding journalistic agency and editorial autonomy.

These findings contribute to the growing discourse on AI in journalism by demonstrating that while AI enhances efficiency and personalization in news production, its integration into editorial decision-making introduces complex challenges related to bias, transparency, and professional autonomy. The implications of these insights extend beyond journalistic practice to broader discussions on media ethics, audience trust, and the future of news consumption in an AI-driven landscape.

AI's Impact on Journalism and Potential Challenges

Artificial intelligence (AI) has been increasingly used in journalism in recent years. One of the most notable implications of this integration is the substantial transformation of newsrooms on a global scale. A foundational study by Montal and Reich (2017) was among the first to explore this shift, raising concerns about algorithmic writing and the necessity of transparency in AI-generated content. De-Lima-Santos and Salaverría (2021) further examined the complexities of AI integration in newsrooms, specifically investigating the role of computer vision technology in journalistic reporting. Their findings indicate that AI-driven automation can enhance efficiency but also introduces ethical and procedural challenges that require careful regulation.

Expanding on these concerns, Gutierrez López et al. (2023) provided a comprehensive analysis of the transition toward AI-powered newsrooms, highlighting how some organizations obscure the extent of AI adoption in journalistic workflows. They argue that the future trajectory of journalism will largely depend on how AI tools are designed to align with established professional standards and ethical norms. Similarly, Gero, Long, and Chilton (2023) explored AI's role in creative writing, emphasizing the significance of human interaction with AI systems and the perceived value of AI-generated content. This research underscores the growing need for transparency in AI-driven journalism to maintain audience trust and credibility.

Beyond newsroom integration, AI has also transformed news production, credibility assessments, investigative journalism, and misinformation detection (Lee, Nah, Chung, & Kim, 2020; Broussard, 2015; Stray, 2019; Hassan & Albayari, 2022). AI's increasing role in public emergency reporting (Lian, Mi, & Tang, 2022) and media strategy development, particularly with the rise of platforms like TikTok (Vázquez-Herrero, Negreira-Rey, & Rodríguez-Vázquez, 2021), demonstrates its broad impact across digital ecosystems. Hansen et al. (2017) highlighted AI's improved data processing capabilities while also cautioning against the emergence of new blind spots due to inconsistent AI implementation across media organizations. These findings suggest that while AI can enhance journalistic efficiency and personalization, it raises concerns about the uneven distribution of AI literacy and access within the industry.

In the context of regional media landscapes, Ashfaq and Nabi (2022) analyzed AI's potential in the Indian media sector, demonstrating how AI enhances cost-effectiveness and content customization. However, their study also identified significant challenges, including job displacement, ethical dilemmas, and a general lack of AI understanding among media professionals. Similar concerns were raised by Çelik (2022), who emphasized the need for media industries to adapt to AI and cultivate successful collaborations between human journalists and AI-driven technologies. Çelik also underscored the ethical risks of biased training data, calling for engineers to develop more robust programming techniques to mitigate algorithmic bias.

Concerns regarding journalistic integrity and automation are further explored by Ufarte Ruiz and Manfredi Sánchez (2019), who stressed the importance of preserving traditional journalistic standards amid growing AI-driven automation. Likewise, Miroshnichenko (2018) examined the impact of AI on robo-journalism, raising questions about conflicts between automated news production and established journalistic norms. In particular, Miroshnichenko's study explored how AI's integration into state-controlled media could marginalize independent outlets, a phenomenon also influenced by regulatory frameworks such as China's Copyright Law. Meanwhile, Naoaín (2022) highlighted AI's potential to enhance media competitiveness, but also noted its role in amplifying ethical considerations, such as the growing influence of social media platforms on news dissemination. Jones et al. (2022) added another critical dimension by advocating for AI literacy across individual, organizational, and community levels, emphasizing the need for responsible AI interaction within the media sector.

Jia (2022) demonstrated how AI enhances news editing processes and supports editors in handling complex reporting tasks, leading to more efficient planning and distribution of radio and television content. AI-driven innovations have also contributed to advancements in lyrics creation, translation services, content recommendation, and audience targeting, reflecting AI's transformative impact across the media industry.

The implications of these findings suggest that AI's integration into journalism is not merely a technological shift but a structural and ethical transformation that will shape journalistic norms, media policies, and audience engagement in the coming years. As AI continues to play an increasingly central role in news production, media organizations must navigate the delicate balance between automation and editorial responsibility. Future research should further explore how AI-driven journalism interacts with existing regulatory frameworks, media governance structures, and ethical considerations to ensure that automation enhances rather than undermines journalistic integrity.

AI and the Legal & Ethical Implications

Several studies examining the integration of artificial intelligence in journalistic practice have identified complex legal and ethical challenges in the application of this evolving technology. Ballardini and van Genderen (2022) explored the legal position of AI applications concerning rights, ownership, and protection requirements of AI-generated content, as well as potential infringements of third-party intellectual property (IP) rights. Their study underscores the urgent need to clarify the legal nature of non-human actors and the responsibilities associated with AI-generated outputs. However, they argue that an examination of AI's role in journalism cannot be limited to legal frameworks alone; ethical considerations are equally crucial. Leiser (2022), for example, highlights AI's potential to perpetuate bias, infringe on civil liberties, and reinforce automation bias in news production. Similarly, Nguyen and Hekman (2022) found that data bias and algorithmic discrimination frequently surface in AI-generated news discourse, underscoring the necessity of fostering critical data literacy among both journalists and audiences to mitigate these risks.

Scholars such as Hansen et al. (2017) and Lewis, Sanders, and Carmody (2019) have examined algorithmic libel and AI-driven misinformation, highlighting the difficulties in determining legal accountability when automated systems produce defamatory or misleading content. These concerns necessitate the development of clear guidelines and regulatory protocols to manage AI's ethical and legal ramifications in news production. Addressing this challenge, Herrera-Damas (2020) argues for establishing a theoretical framework that adapts newsroom ethics to the age of AI journalism. In this framework, AI-driven reporting must adhere to fundamental journalistic principles, including veracity, impartiality, and editorial integrity (Komatsu et al., 2020). However, ensuring AI systems uphold these standards requires ongoing scrutiny and regulatory oversight to prevent automation from compromising ethical journalism.

The increasing reliance on AI-generated content also necessitates a greater focus on credibility and trust. Transparency in AI applications has been identified as a key factor in trust-building, as emphasized in a study by Hofeditz et al. (2021). Without transparency, audiences may struggle to discern whether a news article was written by a human journalist or an AI system, potentially eroding trust in news organizations. Regulatory interventions are needed to ensure that AI-generated content remains ethically sound and accessible while mitigating readability issues caused by algorithmic biases (Leiser, 2022; Kolo, Mütterlein, & Schmid, 2022). Furthermore, researchers advocate for a paradigm shift in journalism's theoretical foundations to better accommodate AI's role. One proposed shift involves adopting a Human-Machine Communication (HMC) framework, which provides a lens to

analyze how journalists and audiences interact with AI-generated content while addressing ethical concerns unique to automated journalism (Lewis, Guzman, & Schmidt, 2019).

From a broader media-centric perspective, AI's integration into journalism is not limited to editorial processes but extends to more controversial areas, such as its involvement in military operations, content manipulation, and the dissemination of false information. Vergeer (2020) warns that AI-driven journalism has the potential to amplify propaganda and misinformation at an unprecedented scale, especially in contexts where media autonomy is weak or where AI-generated narratives serve political interests. This perspective underscores the need for ethical AI deployment beyond newsroom settings, as AI's impact on journalism could influence information ecosystems, democratic processes, and media policies on a global scale.

Ultimately, these findings highlight AI's dual role in journalism: as an innovative tool that enhances efficiency, personalization, and investigative capabilities, but also as a disruptive force that raises critical legal, ethical, and regulatory challenges. As AI technology continues to evolve, journalism must adapt by developing new frameworks for ethical reporting, ensuring greater transparency in AI-driven content, and integrating safeguards that protect against the unintended consequences of automation. Future research should explore the implications of AI's growing role in journalism, particularly in shaping media policies, influencing audience trust, and redefining journalistic accountability in the digital age.

The Application of AI to Everyday Journalistic Practice and Media Policy

The findings of this study highlight the increasing integration of AI in journalism, necessitating practical adjustments within newsrooms to accommodate this technological shift. In everyday journalistic practice, AI can streamline workflows by automating routine reporting tasks such as financial summaries, sports recaps, and weather updates, allowing journalists to allocate more time to investigative and analytical reporting. AI-powered transcription tools and automated data analysis can expedite the process of gathering and verifying information, reducing the workload of journalists while improving reporting efficiency. However, to ensure the responsible use of AI in news production, media professionals must develop critical AI literacy skills to assess the accuracy, reliability, and ethical implications of AI-generated content. Newsrooms should integrate AI literacy training into their professional development programs, equipping journalists with the necessary skills to interpret and fact-check AI-generated reports.

Additionally, transparency in AI-generated content should become a standard practice in journalism to maintain public trust. News organizations should explicitly disclose when AI tools are used in content creation, ensuring that audiences are aware of the role automation plays in news production. Clear labelling of AI-generated articles, along with editorial oversight, can help mitigate concerns about bias and misinformation. Moreover, journalists should adopt a hybrid approach that combines AI-driven automation with human editorial judgment, ensuring that AI tools are used to enhance journalistic integrity rather than replace critical thinking and investigative reporting. By establishing ethical guidelines for AI-assisted journalism, media organizations can foster responsible AI implementation while upholding professional journalistic standards.

Insights from technology ethics can further enrich the discussion on AI's role in journalism. Scholars in this field emphasize the concept of "ethical AI," which requires AI developers to embed transparency, fairness, and accountability in their models. The principle of algorithmic explainability, advocated by AI ethicists, suggests that news organizations should have access to clear explanations of how AI-generated content is produced and whether it carries biases. Technology ethics scholars also stress the need for a human-in-the-loop approach, where journalists retain editorial oversight over AI-generated content to prevent automated systems from perpetuating misinformation or reinforcing discriminatory patterns.

The increasing reliance on AI in journalism raises important regulatory considerations. Policymakers must develop frameworks that ensure AI-generated content adheres to journalistic integrity and ethical reporting standards. Given the risks of algorithmic bias, misinformation, and lack of transparency, regulatory bodies should mandate that news organizations implement AI governance policies that prioritize accountability and fairness. This could include the introduction of guidelines requiring AI developers to create explainable AI systems, allowing journalists and editors to understand how AI-generated content is produced and to assess potential biases in automated reporting. Additionally, policies should emphasize human oversight in AI-driven journalism to prevent automated systems from generating misleading or harmful content.

Media regulatory bodies should collaborate with technology companies and journalism organizations to establish standardized guidelines for AI implementation in newsrooms. Such policies could require news outlets to regularly audit their AI tools for bias and misinformation risks while promoting ethical AI use across different media platforms. Governments and international media organizations should also consider legal frameworks that address liability in cases where AI-generated news contributes to misinformation or defamation. By instituting clear policies that balance innovation with ethical safeguards, media regulators can ensure that AI serves as a tool to enhance journalism rather than compromise its credibility.

Interdisciplinary collaboration between journalism, technology ethics, and legal scholars is crucial in shaping future policies that govern AI in news media. Media policymakers should encourage this collaboration by supporting research initiatives and regulatory frameworks that align AI technologies with democratic values and journalistic principles. Additionally, governments and regulatory bodies should fund research projects that examine the ethical, legal, and societal implications of AI in journalism, fostering responsible innovation in the media industry.

Ultimately, AI's role in journalism should be guided by ethical principles, legal accountability, and journalistic integrity. News organizations, policymakers, and scholars must work together to ensure that AI technologies are used responsibly, enhancing journalism's role in informing the public while safeguarding democratic values and press freedom.

CONCLUSION

First, significant ethical and legal issues arise with the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in journalism. From a legal standpoint, it is imperative to address rights, ownership, and protection obligations related to innovations generated by AI, as well as potential infringements on third-party intellectual property. This requires an understanding of the legal status of non-human entities and their capacity to violate intellectual property rights, particularly in jurisdictions with varying regulatory frameworks. In technologically advanced media markets such as North America, Europe, and East Asia, legal precedents concerning AI-generated content are gradually emerging, while in developing regions, regulatory gaps remain a challenge.

From an ethical standpoint, the prevalence of data bias and algorithmic discrimination in AI-driven news discourse underscores the necessity of critical data literacy. News organizations in different regions may exhibit varying levels of readiness in addressing these issues, as some media institutions have established AI governance policies, while others struggle with the lack of standardized ethical guidelines. Privacy concerns, the risk of algorithm-based defamation, and the broader implications of AI on media accountability further complicate the landscape. It is essential to develop guidelines and protocols tailored to diverse journalistic environments, alongside the creation of a theoretical framework for newsroom ethics in the era of artificial intelligence. AI systems should incorporate fundamental journalistic values, including truthfulness, objectivity, and originality, to ensure credibility across global news ecosystems.

Second, the studies reviewed in this research highlight the multifaceted challenges facing AI-based news journalism. These challenges vary based on regional technological infrastructure, media industry development, and policy frameworks governing AI adoption. One prominent issue is the global transformation of newsrooms due to AI integration, which raises concerns regarding algorithmic writing and the need for transparency in AI-generated content. The extent to which AI is integrated into journalistic workflows differs across regions, with technologically advanced nations leading AI adoption in content automation, while others remain in the experimental phase.

Another critical issue is the role of AI in misinformation detection and fact-checking, which varies significantly across different political and media landscapes. AI-driven solutions for combating misinformation have been widely implemented in countries with high digital penetration and robust regulatory oversight. However, in regions with restricted press freedom, the use of AI-powered surveillance raises serious concerns about the reinforcement of state-controlled narratives and media suppression. Furthermore, the application of AI in public emergency reporting highlights the need for adaptable media strategies, particularly within social media–driven information ecosystems. While countries with established AI governance frameworks have deployed AI-supported disaster reporting tools, others remain vulnerable to algorithmic biases in crisis coverage.

The application of AI in journalism has also led to increased efficiency, cost reduction, and audience personalization. Yet, concerns remain regarding job displacement and the ethical

consequences of using biased data for AI training. The extent of workforce disruption varies by media market, as some news organizations have actively reskilled journalists for AI integration, while others face labor market uncertainties. These regional disparities highlight the importance of developing AI literacy programs tailored to different media environments to ensure responsible interaction with AI technologies.

Furthermore, upholding journalistic standards amid escalating automation presents ongoing challenges. The potential conflict between AI-generated content and traditional journalistic norms necessitates a critical examination of how automation aligns with professional ethics. In state-controlled media systems, AI adoption could marginalize independent news outlets, exacerbating media consolidation concerns. Promoting AI literacy across various levels—ranging from newsroom practitioners to the general public—remains an essential step toward responsible AI integration in journalism.

Academic Contribution

This research contributes to the academic discourse by providing a contextualized analysis of AI's impact on journalism, accounting for regional disparities in technological adoption, legal governance, and media infrastructure. Unlike previous studies that primarily focus on AI's technical capabilities, this study offers a comparative perspective by integrating technological, ethical, and regulatory dimensions of AI in journalism across different regions. Additionally, it advances theoretical discussions on AI governance in newsrooms, the ethical frameworks required for AI-driven journalism, and the implications of AI automation on journalistic labor markets. This study also highlights the emerging role of AI in misinformation detection, crisis reporting, and media accountability, positioning it as a crucial resource for policymakers, media practitioners, and scholars seeking to understand the evolving relationship between AI and journalism.

By synthesizing these insights, this research lays the groundwork for future studies exploring localized AI adoption in journalism, cross-national regulatory responses, and the long-term implications of AI-driven automation on media diversity and freedom of expression.

Limitations of the Study

While this study provides a comprehensive review of AI's impact on journalism, two major limitations must be acknowledged. First, the meta-analysis primarily relies on existing literature, which may lead to a bias favoring technologically advanced regions such as North America, Europe, and East Asia. This geographic concentration means that AI adoption challenges in emerging economies, where regulatory frameworks and technological infrastructures are still developing, may be underrepresented. Given that AI's impact varies based on media industry maturity and policy environments, future studies should incorporate more empirical investigations from diverse regional contexts to provide a balanced global perspective on AI-driven journalism.

Second, this study does not fully capture the long-term effects of AI integration in journalism due to the relatively recent adoption of AI technologies in media. While the research highlights current trends and immediate challenges, there is still limited longitudinal data on how AI will influence newsroom structures, editorial independence, and audience trust over time. Future research should focus on tracking these developments through long-term studies to assess better AI's evolving role in journalism and its broader implications for media governance and journalistic labor markets.

Despite these limitations, this research lays a strong foundation for understanding AI's transformative role in journalism, emphasizing the need for continued empirical analysis and interdisciplinary collaboration in media studies, law, and technology ethics.

REFERENCES

Abdulmajeed, M., & Fahmy, N. (2022, May). Meta-analysis of AI Research in Journalism: Challenges, Opportunities and Future Research Agenda for Arab Journalism.

Almalki, F. A., Aljohani, M., Algethami, M., & Soufiene, B. O. (2022). Incorporating drone and AI to empower smart journalism via optimizing a propagation model. Sustainability, 14(7), 3758.

Ashfaq, R., & Nabi, M. Z. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and the Indian Media Industry: the Future is Now. Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Neural Network (JAIMLNN) ISSN: 2799-1172, 2(06)

Bakke, N. A., & Barland, J. (2022). Disruptive innovations and paradigm shifts in journalism as a business: From advertisers first to readers first and traditional operational models to the AI factory. SAGE Open, 12(2), 21582440221094819.

Brookings Institution. (2024). *Can journalism survive AI?* Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/articles/can-journalism-survive-ai

Broussard, M. (2015). Artificial intelligence for investigative reporting: Using an expert system to enhance journalists' ability to discover original public affairs stories. Digital journalism, 3(6), 814-831.

Broussard, M., Diakopoulos, N., Guzman, A., Abebe, R., Dupagne, M., Chuan, C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence and Journalism. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 3(96), 673-695. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699019859901

Canavilhas, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence and journalism: Current situation and expectations in the Portuguese sports media. Journalism and media, 3(3), 510-520.

Canavilhas, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence in journalism: Automatic translation and recommendation system in the project" A European Perspective" (EBU). Revista Latina de Comunicación Social, (80), 1-13

Carlson, M. (2018). The robotic reporter: Automated journalism and the redefinition of labor, compositional forms, and journalistic authority. In *Journalism in an Era of Big Data*(pp. 108-123). Routledge.

Çelik, K. (2022). Artificial intelligence journalism and the problems it may bring. Mecmua, (13), 143-158. Jia, Z. (2022). Analysis Methods for the Planning and Dissemination Mode of Radio and Television Assisted by Artificial Intelligence Technology. Mathematical Problems in Engineering.

Coddington, M. (2015). Clarifying journalism's quantitative turn: A typology for evaluating data journalism, computational journalism, and computer-assisted reporting. *Digital journalism*, *3*(3), 331-348.

Columbia Journalism Review. (2024). *Artificial intelligence in the news*. Retrieved from https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/artificial-intelligence-in-the-news.php

Cox, M. (2000). The development of computer-assisted reporting. *Informe presentado en Association for Education in Jornalism end Mass Comunication). Chapel Hill, EEUU: Universidad de Carolina del Norte*.

De-Lima-Santos, M. F., & Ceron, W. (2021). Artificial intelligence in news media: current perceptions and future outlook. Journalism and media, 3(1), 13-26

De-Lima-Santos, M. F., & Salaverría, R. (2021). From data journalism to artificial intelligence: challenges faced by La Nación in implementing computer vision in news reporting. Palabra Clave, 24(3).

Dhiman, D. B. (2023). Does Artificial Intelligence help Journalists: A Boon or Bane?. Available at SSRN 4401194.

Dörr, K. N. (2016). Mapping the field of algorithmic journalism. *Digital journalism*, *4*(6), 700-722.

Duan, Y., Edwards, J., Dwivedi, Y. (2019). Artificial Intelligence For Decision Making In the Era Of Big Data – Evolution, Challenges And Research Agenda. International Journal of Information Management, (48), 63-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.01.021

Frost, E., Carter, S. (2020). Reporting Of Screening and Diagnostic Ai Rarely Acknowledges Ethical, Legal, And Social Implications: A Mass Media Frame Analysis. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak, 1(20). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-020-01353-1

Gallofré Ocaña, M., & Opdahl, A. L. (2020). Challenges and opportunities for journalistic knowledge platforms.

García-Orosa, B., Pérez-Seijo, S., & Vizoso, Á. (Eds.). (2022). Emerging Practices in the Age of Automated Digital Journalism: Models, Languages, and Storytelling. Taylor & Francis.

Gero, K. I., Long, T., & Chilton, L. B. (2023, April). Social dynamics of AI support in creative writing. In Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1-15).

Gilbert, C., & Gilbert, M. A. (2024). The Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Combatting Deepfakes and Digital Misinformation. International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science (ISSN: 2455-9024), 9(4), 170-181.

Goethe-Institut**.** (2024). *Artificial Intelligence in Journalism*. Retrieved from https://www.goethe.de/prj/k40/en/lan/aij.html

Gondwe, G. (2023). Chatgpt and The Global South: How Are Journalists In Sub-saharan Africa Engaging With Generative Ai?. Online Media and Global Communication, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1515/omgc-2023-0023

Graefe, A. (2016). Guide to automated journalism.

Guanah, J. S., Agbanu, V. N., & Obi, I. (2020). Artificial intelligence and journalism practice in Nigeria: Perception of journalists in Benin City, Edo State. International Review of Humanities Studies, 5(2), 698-715.

Gutierrez Lopez, M., Porlezza, C., Cooper, G., Makri, S., MacFarlane, A., & Missaoui, S. (2023). A question of design: Strategies for embedding AI-driven tools into journalistic work routines. Digital Journalism, 11(3), 484-503.

Hansen, M., Roca-Sales, M., Keegan, J. M., & King, G. (2017). Artificial intelligence: Practice and implications for journalism.

Hassan, A., & Albayari, A. (2022). The Usage of Artificial Intelligence in Journalism. In Future of Organizations and Work After the 4th Industrial Revolution: The Role of Artificial Intelligence, Big Data, Automation, and Robotics (pp. 175-197). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Herrera-Damas, S. News Media Innovation Reconsidered.

Hofeditz, L., Mirbabaie, M., Holstein, J., & Stieglitz, S. (2021). Do You Trust an AI-journalist? A Credibility Analysis of News Content with AI-Authorship. In ECIS.

Jamil, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and journalistic practice: The crossroads of obstacles and opportunities for the Pakistani journalists. Journalism Practice, 15(10), 1400-1422.

Jones, B., Jones, R., & Luger, E. (2022). AI 'Everywhere and Nowhere': Addressing the AI Intelligibility Problem in Public Service Journalism. Digital Journalism, 10(10), 1731-1755.

Klimo, P., Thompson, C., Ragel, B., Boop, F. (2014). Methodology and Reporting Of Meta-analyses In The Neurosurgical Literature. JNS, 4(120), 796-810. https://doi.org/10.3171/2013.11.jns13195

Kolo, C., Mütterlein, J., & Schmid, S. A. (2022, January). Believing Journalists, AI, or Fake News: The Role of Trust in Media. In HICSS (pp. 1-10).

Komatsu, T., Gutierrez Lopez, M., Makri, S., Porlezza, C., Cooper, G., MacFarlane, A., & Missaoui, S. (2020, October). AI should embody our values: Investigating journalistic values to inform AI technology design.

Kusumawati, U. D. (2023). The Dilemma of Adopting Innovation in Media: Study Case of Data Journalism Practice in KOMPAS. Jurnal Spektrum Komunikasi, 11(1), 36-50.

Leiser, M. (2022). Bias, journalistic endeavours, and the risks of artificial intelligence. Artificial Intelligence and the Media. Reconsidering Rights and Responsibilities.

Lewis, S. C., Guzman, A. L., & Schmidt, T. R. (2019). Automation, journalism, and human–machine communication: Rethinking roles and relationships of humans and machines in news. Digital journalism, 7(4), 409-427.

Lewis, S. C., Sanders, A. K., & Carmody, C. (2019). Libel by algorithm? Automated journalism and the threat of legal liability.

Lian, S., Mi, R., & Tang, R. (2022). Journalists' Response and Reporting of Public Emergencies in the Era of Artificial Intelligence.

McGregor, S. (2013). CAR hits the mainstream. *Columbia journalism review*, *18*.

Miroshnichenko, A. (2018). Ai To Bypass Creativity. Will Robots Replace Journalists? (The Answer Is "Yes"). Information, 7(9), 183. https://doi.org/10.3390/info9070183

Miroshnichenko, A. (2018). AI to bypass creativity. Will robots replace journalists?(The answer is "yes"). Information, 9(7), 183.

Montal, T., & Reich, Z. (2017). I, robot. You, journalist. Who is the author? Authorship, bylines and full disclosure in automated journalism. Digital journalism, 5(7), 829-849.

Moran, R., Shaikh, S. (2022). Robots In the News and Newsrooms: Unpacking Meta-journalistic Discourse On The Use Of Artificial Intelligence In Journalism. Digital Journalism, 10(10), 1756-1774. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2022.2085129

Naoaín, A. S. (2022). Addressing the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalism: The perception of experts, journalists, and academics.

Ouchchy, L., Coin, A., Dubljević, V. (2020). Ai In the Headlines: The Portrayal Of The Ethical Issues Of Artificial Intelligence In The Media. AI & Soc, 4(35), 927-936. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-00965-5

Pavlik, J. (2023). Collaborating With Chatgpt: Considering the Implications Of Generative Artificial Intelligence For Journalism And Media Education. Journalism & Mass Communication Educator, 1(78), 84-93. https://doi.org/10.1177/10776958221149577

Saragih, M. Y., & Harahap, A. I. (2020). The Challenges of Print Media Journalism in the Digital Era. Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI Journal): Humanities and Social Science, 3(1), 540-548.

Statista. (2023). *Predictions AI initiatives for publishers*. Retrieved from https://www.statista.com/statistics/1119232/predictions-ai-initiatives-for-publishers

Stray, J. (2019). Making artificial intelligence work for investigative journalism.

Thurman, N., Dörr, K., & Kunert, J. (2017). When reporters get hands-on with robo-writing: Professionals consider automated journalism's capabilities and consequences. *Digital journalism*, *5*(10), 1240-1259.

Túñez-López, J. M., Fieiras-Ceide, C., & Vaz-Álvarez, M. (2021). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalism: transformations in the company, products, contents and professional profile. Communication & society, 34(1), 177-193.

Türksoy, N. (2022). The Future of Public Relations, Advertising and Journalism: How Artificial Intelligence May Transform the Communication Profession and Why Society Should Care?. Türkiye İletişim Araştırmaları Dergisi, (40), 394-410.

Ufarte Ruiz, M. J., & Manfredi Sánchez, J. L. (2019). Algorithms and bots applied to journalism. The case of Narrativa Inteligencia Artificial: structure, production and informative quality. Doxa Comunicación, (29).

Vakkuri, V., Kemell, K., Abrahamsson, P. (2019). Implementing Ethics In Ai: Initial Results Of An Industrial Multiple Case Study., 331-338. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35333-9_24

Van Dalen, A. (2024). Revisiting the algorithms behind the headlines. How journalists respond to professional competition of generative ai. *Journalism practice*, 1-18. Leiser, M. (2022). *AI in journalism: Content personalization and investigative reporting.* Media & Communication, 10(3), 189-204. https://doi.org/xxxx

Vázquez-Herrero, J., Negreira-Rey, M. C., & Rodríguez-Vázquez, A. I. (2021). Intersections between TikTok and TV: Channels and programmes thinking outside the box. Journalism and media, 2(1), 1-13.

Vergeer, M. (2020). Artificial intelligence in the Dutch press: An analysis of topics and trends. Communication Studies, 71(3), 373-392.

Verma, D. (2024). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalism: A Comprehensive Review of AI in Journalism. Journal of Communication and Management. https://doi.org/10.58966/jcm20243212

Vila, P. (2021). Exo Journalism: a Conceptual Approach To A Hybrid Formula Between Journalism And Artificial Intelligence. Journalism and Media, 4(2), 830-840. https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia2040048

Whittaker, J. (2019). Tech Giants, Artificial Intelligence, and The Future Of Journalism.. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351013758.