# Should Covid-19 Vaccination be Mandated? Searching for Libertarianism Legitimacies

by Zaid Zaid

**Submission date:** 23-Mar-2022 08:03PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1790923149

File name: New\_JMH\_Template\_1.docx (673.49K)

Word count: 5228 Character count: 32120



Volume ... No ... Issue ...

P-ISSN: 0854-8919, E-ISSN: 2503-1023

Nationally Accredited Journal, Decree No. 30/E/KPT/2018.



# Should Covid-19 Vaccination be Mandated? Searching for Libertarianism Legitimacies

## Zaid¹¡¡Mahbub Pasca Al Bahy²,

- <sup>1</sup> Master of Law, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- <sup>2</sup>Turizm və Qonaqpərvərlik, Azərbaycan Turizm və Menecment Universiteti, Azerbaijan
- \*Corresponding Author: zaidrusdianto@gmail.coim

### ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Covid-19; Mandatory vaccination; Libertarianism;

### 15 w to cite:

Gunawan, Y. Transboundary Haze Pollution in the Perspective of International Law of State Responsibili 13 MEDIA HUKUM, +62 274

# 387656 (Ext. 220) Article History:

Received: Reviewed: Revised: Accepted:

### ABSTRACT

Today, the 2019 coronavirus disease (Covid-19) outbreak is still a considerable health problem. At the same time, countries worldwide have been waiting urgently for a Covid-19 vaccine to be sufficiently available. When the Covid-19 vaccine became available, several countries began to adopt mandatory Covid-19 vaccination policies. However, mandatory Covid-19 vaccination has received strong opposition from the start. Many rejections have come, including libertarians. Seeing that the current research that tries to analyze the mandatory Covid-19 vaccination still regg ves around the perspective of human rights and also utilitarianism, this study aims to explore and find out how the libertarian perspective towards mandatory vaccines is. Normative research methods with conceptual and comparative approaches were tried to be used in this study. After analyzing secondary data sources with prescriptive analysis methods, this study finally succeeded in finding that mandatory Covid-19 vaccination has its place, legitimacy, and justification on the ideological side of libertarianism. This is because Libertarians accept that the government may require tha mandatory vaccination program against Covid-19. Because in the libertarian framework, the government is still justified in enforcing coercive policies that violate the rights of certain dividuals if the policy is necessary to avoid greater harm to others. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18196/jmh.xxxx.xxxx

Copyright © xxxx MEDIA HUKUM. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Today, the 2019 Coronavirus disease pandemic (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 is still a considerable health matter. Even this pandemic is considered a global challenge for all countries in the world (Saied, Saied, Kabbash, & Abdo, 2021, p. 4280). To deal with this, any Government in the world today is undertaking a great struggle against its spread. Policies such as lockdowns and other restrictive measures against social habits such as self-isolation, social distance, Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB), and self-quarantine are now being used by most worldwide countries (Ahmen Aqeel, & Aslam, 2020). At the same time, a COVID-19 vaccine to become widely accessible in order to potentially limit the spread of the illness and terminate the pandemic (Sprengholz, Betsch, & Böhm, 2021, p. 986). Even now, they frequently request critical and urgent political judgments and actions in order to establish the most extensive immunization campaign against coronavirus disease 2019 (Chirumbolo, 2021, p. 4049).

In fact, governments around the world are promoting vaccination eighteen months after the declaration of the COVID-19 outbreak in order to curb the excess mortality and also to repair the severe damage caused by this pandemic both socially and economically (Achat, Stubbs, & Mittal, 2021). However, the current issue is how to expand and maintain high vaccination coverage to protect individuals and society. And mandatory vaccination is the right choice to achieve it (Rezza, 2019, p. 291). The mandatory vaccination policy is even believed to have become one of the strategies to increase compliance with the vaccination program so that it can achieve optimal vaccine distribution in the community (Tomljenovic, Bubic, & Hren, 2020, p. 2).

When vaccines were first available, the mandatory COVID-19 vaccination has been advocated in any sundry circumstances (Kates et al., 2021, p. 1). However, vaccination did have strong opposition from the start. Many nations discussed whether immunization through vaccines should be mandatory, which drew a lot of criticism (Prieto Curiel & González Ramírez, 2021) and controversial debate (Flanigan, 2013, p. 6). At the same time, there have been several protests from the community (Bauer, Tiefengraber, & Wiedermann, 2021, p. 21). A few are opposed to compulsory vaccination because there is a shortage of credible statistics to estimate risk derivation (Silverstein, 2021). Achat et al., (2021) also argue that mandatory vaccination may be unwarranted.

On the other hand, there are also many anti-vaccine groups that continue to campaign against the rejection of mandatory vaccination. And anti-vaccination attitudes are often based on strong personal beliefs, based not only on religious beliefs but also on differing perceptions of drugs and libertarian ideology (Velan et al., 2012, p. 1272). And the anti-vaccine movement and real skepticism are apparent and growing problems (Millar, Gourtsoyannis, & Jayakumar, 2021).

And concerning Covid-19, according to Delanty (2020), there are six political-philosophical positions on the coronavirus pandemic: "utilitarian, Kantian, libertarian,

7

library research is used as a data collection technique in this research. The data that has been obtained is then collected for further analysis using prescriptive analysis.

### 3. Discussion and Analysis

### 3.1. An Overview of the Mandatory Covid-19 Vaccination

Indeed, the most essential development in public health since the last century has been vaccines for infectious diseases (Pierik, 2017). Initially, vaccination had been voluntary since the mid-1960s (Paul & Loer, 2019, p. 172). Mandatory vaccinations involve the interference of the State with the private lives of individuals, as they force the public to be vaccinated, regardless of the person's consent or 10t (Camilleri, 2019). Nevertheless, the mandatory vaccination policy raises the legal issue of how to achieve a fair balance between the various competing interests that arise from the three main actors affected by the law, namely parents, children, and the State (Camilleri, 2019). Not only that but the vaccine mandate is also legitimized for the following reasons:

- Reduction of the risk of one person transmitting the infection to another. Especially for individuals at a higher risk and its severe consequences of the disease (Leask et al., 2021, p. 499). From this first argument, the second normative argument was born in the form of;
- 2. It is the fundamental responsibility of the state and the government to guarantee the hallth of its societies. As a result, the government should preserve the public interest in herd immunity in society in order to protect vulnerable persons who are unable to protect themselves due to medical reasons. Infectious illnesses are unique in that the patient is not only a sufferer of the disease, but also "consciously or subconsciously" a vector in its propagation. Unvaccinated individuals can infeg others and lead to epidemics. As a result, infectious illnesses should be handled not just in terms of parent-child duties, but also in terms of public health. It is also necessary to have herd immunity to Covid-19 (Pierik, 2018, p. 387).

However, Khunti, Kamal, Pareek, & Griffiths (221, p. 235) further explains that any vaccination (including the Covid-1 accine) could be mandatory (or compulsory) if it meets four conditions or conditions: first, there is a (real) serious threat to public health. Good, the vaccine has been scientifically proven to be safe and effective. Third, the mandatory vaccination policy implemented has a better cost/benefit profile compared to other alternatives. And last a proportional level of coercion that does not violate human rights principles. Therefore, as long as certain conditions are met, Panagopoulou (2012 p. 29) argues that compulsory vaccination does not violate basic rights. In fact, as long as the principle of proportionality is met, mandatory vaccination as a form of medical intervention is a manifestation of the state's obligation to protect basic rights to life and health.

With these considerations in mind, several countries are also currently revising their vaccination policies, acquainting or expanding mandatory provisions under which individual persons are legally entailed to immunize themselves with the vaccines (Odone, Dallagiacoma, Frascella, Signorelli, & Leask, 2021, p. 560). Among them are countries such as Greece (Giannouchos, Steletou, Saridi, & Souliotis, 2021b, 2021a), Italy (Paterlini, 2021), Australia (Attwell et al., 2021) and also including Indonesia. However, mandatory vaccination policies differ significantly in each country (Gravagna et al., 2020, p. 7865).

In Indonesia, for example, the mandatory vaccine policy is legally stipulated in Presidential Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2021 concerning Amendments to Presidential Regulation Number 99 of 2020 concerning Vaccine Procurement and Vaccination Implementation in the Context of Combating the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic (Covid-19). The regulation states in Article 13A paragraph (2) states that "everyone who has been designated as a target recipient of the COVID-19 Vaccine based on data collection is required to take part in the COVID-19 Vaccination". This is also confirmed by the Regulation of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia Number 10 of 2021 concerning the Implementation of Vaccination in the Context of Combating the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Pandemic in Article 14.

At first, this vaccination policy applied the priority principle wherein Part One of the Criteria and Priority for COVID-19 Vaccine Recipients of the Recipients of Regulation of the Minister of Health Number 10 of 2021 in Article 8 paragraph (2), the priority group for their COVID-19 Vaccine recipients were "a. health workers, assistants for health workers, and supporting staff working in Health Service Facilities; b. the elderly and public service personnel/officers; c. vulnerable people from geospatial, social, and economic aspects" before finally being made available to the broader community. But now, because the availability of vaccines in Indonesia is optimal and sufficient, the wider community can already carry out their vaccinations in places closest to them.

The provisions of this mandatory vaccine policy have the impact of administrative sanctions who has been selected as a target receiver of the COVID-19 vaccine and then does not take part in the COVID-19 vaccination in the form of "a. postponement or cessation of the provision of social security or social assistance; b. postponement or termination of government administration services; and/or c. fines" which will later be "performed by the ministry, institution, local government, or agency in accordance with their authority" as clearly outlined in Article 13A Paragraphs (4) and (5).

However, this mandatory vaccination policy was ultimately and often perceived as being widely opposed by libertarians (Haberer et al., 2021; Schmelz, 2020). But is it really the ideology of libertarianism towards such mandatory Covid-19 vaccination policies? The answers and explanations related to these problems will be discussed below.

### 3.2. Mandatory Covid-19 Vaccination in the Libertarianism Point of View

Observing how the Libertarian view of mandated (Covid-19) vaccines is quite a dilemma. Because the libertarians are a group that upholds self-freedom (Rogers, 2015, p. 9; Zaid, 2021), there has been a widespread belief in society that compulsory vaccination violates civil liberties under excessive institutional control (Tomljenovic et al., 2020, p. 7). And in a study, it was also stated with a narrative that mandatory vaccination itself is a form of violation in the domain of purity and freedom, so mandatory vaccination is an unjustified violation of freedom (Bernstein, 2017, p. 795). Hence, there is also the notion that mandatory vaccination is never justified on the part of many libertarians because it attacks a person's body. In fact, civil libertarians often ally themselves with social conservatives and supporters of the antivaccine movement in opposing and rejecting mandatory vaccination (Jacobson, Agunwamba, St. Sauver, & Rutten, 2015, p. 259).

Basically, libertarianism itself is a theory and political philosophy and jurisprudence which originated from "Two Treatises of Government (1689)" by John Locke. At the core, the fundamental principle of libertarianism is that societies should be free to shape their own lives however they want without being constrained or coerced by others (Davidson, 2021, p. 7304).

According to Steiner (2019, p. 99), libertarianism is not just a general theory of morality. The first principle consists of the rights of "claims, liberties, powers and immunities," which are contained in the fundamental rights in the form of self-ownership rights. For certain self-owners, these rights correlatively impose limits on all others in the form of duties, obligations, and disabilities (Hohfeldian). This set of rights and limitations is sufficient to provide innocent people with normative protection of their rights when they are tampered with, destroyed, or taken without consent. In libertarian first principles, their consent is paramount to take their rights. Hence, individual persons should have free control to make their own decisions, and "righteousness" is part of libertarian philosophical beliefs (Ashwell, Cullinane, & Croucher, 2021, p. 251).

And when it relates to immunization, the right to bodily integrity is quite usual for mandatory vaccination and significantly more contentious than any other right that may be considered infringed, such as the right to private property, according to some libertarians. To be sure, the rights breached in the instance of compelled vaccination appear to be more rigorous than any other rights (if any) (Giubilini, 2020, p. 460). Therefore, in the Libertarian's view, vaccination can only be accepted if it is in a voluntary form, not mandatory. Voluntary, which involves individual freedom to choose to take the vaccine or not, is much more rational in the eyes of libertarians than mandatory, which tends to be forced by the government.

"This is a matter of freedom. We should be free to decide whether to wear a seat belt or not - it's our body and our choice. It is not a matter of the State" is perhaps the response of Libertarians to mandatory vaccination (Giubilini & Savulescu, 2019, p. 237). Principally, any State-sponsored intervention or coercion, however, veiled by bureaucratic routine, are unacceptable, according to libertarians (Moller, 2019, p. 1). And mandatory vaccinations tend to involve the State's interference with the private lives of individuals because they force people to be vaccinated. Regardless of the person's consent or not (Camilleri, 2019). Society lacks freedom and choice, whereas freedom is also a key "libertarian" idea that view that all options remain open to them where people still abide free to do anything they want to (Giubilini, 2019, p. 73). So for Libertarians, the State does not have the right to make decisions regarding vaccination (Velan, 2011, p. 1261). Libertarians may be a vaccine a🜠 sign of government repression and violations of civil liberties. Libertarians may also argue that individuals have the freedom to object to behaviors that contravene with their religious or philosophical convictions. Medical treatment, including vaccination, can be refused by a competent mature person under their self-freedom right. This is a well-established ethical principle that has been securely safeguarded in the majority of common law jurisdictions (Bradfield & Giubilini, 2021, p. 470).

Therefore, disobedience, which has become a problem in dealing with Covid-19 (García-Toledano, López-Parra, Cebrián-Martínez, & Palomares-Ruiz, 2022, p. 73), can sometimes (even often) be rooted in the solid personal beliefs of libertarian ideologues (Piccirilli et al., 2015, p. 356). At the same time, if tradeoffs are accurate, liberals and libertarians will have to accept the high mortality rates that inevitably result from periodic epidemics of disease as the price of freedom (Koyama, 2021, p. 9).

But now, a study reveals that people with a liberal ideological orientation view vaccination as beneficial and support vaccination (D<sub>30</sub> us & Tosun, 2021, p. 480). In contrast to the classical liberal perspective, which rejects the paternalist legal need to protect through vaccination or immunization, modern libertarians are likely to embrace the policy of mandatory vaccination in the case of Covid-19 in order to protect others from being harmed. Even some libertarians today advocate forced vaccination against dangerous diseases for the

same reason, according to contemporary philosophical arguments (Graeber, Schmidt-Petri, & Schröder, 2021, p. 3).

Today's liberals and libertarians also accept that governments may require their citizens to be vaccinated. Because it is a fundamental principle of liberal society that restrictions on freedom (coercion) by the government are justified only to avoid harm to others. Self-harm is never a sufficient justification. Vaccination, in general, not only provides advantages and protection to individuals who uptake it but also has an impact on other people. The two main ethical features of a pandemic are that people carrying the infection, even if asymptomatic, can pose a lethal threat to others. Second, if a large number of people fall ill simultaneously, this can burden the health system prevent others from accessing services—health (Savulescu, Pugh, & Wilkinson, 2021, p. 1500).

A firm opinion also comes from Navin (2015, p. 182) who supports that within a libertarian framework, the state is still justified in implementing coercive policies that violate certain individual rights if the policy is necessary to prevent harm to others because it harms others, for example. In the form of infectious diseases, it does not limit their freedom. In The Journal of Libertarian Studies, Block (2020, pp. 231–232) also stated that there are circumstances where mandatory vaccination will be required by law imposed by anyone, especially the government, including parents must be required to vaccinate their children. After all, people who do not vaccinate are endangering themselves and those with whom they communicate, thereby violating the nonaggression principle (NAP), and should be punished accordingly.

This is further strengthened by the theory of "Libertarian paternalism" that contends that a subject or institution may, and possibly has an obligation to, promote the choices and practices that help individuals live healthy lives (De Panfilis et al., 2021, p. 9575). This libertarian paternalism has a decision-making approach based on the assumptions that; i) it is wrong that individuals always (or usually) make choices that are in their best interests; ii) in many situations, some authorities (i.e., states) have to make (more or less) mandatory policies that will influence individual choices and lifestyles; iii) paternalism does not always involve coercion. So, according to libertarian paternalism, authorities should introduce favorable rules regarding lifestyle or proper health choices. Of course, this positive rule should be limited to difficult, complex, and infrequent decisions and when individuals have poor feedback and little opportunity to know and learn. In such cases, the (government) authority has the duty/right to intervene (Boniolo, 2016, p. 58). This includes vaccinations.

Given the debate regarding the views and responses of libertarians to mandatory Covid-19 vaccitation, it can then be implied that modern libertarians have diverse attitudes. Still, all kinds of libertarianism discover their origin back to the Enlightenment thinkers of the 17th and 18th centuries. Who argues that the state, law, and government exist for the benefit of the people (Iyer, Koleva, Graham, Ditto, & Haidt, 2012, p. 5 So that it is above the broader community's interests that mandate 23 Covid-19 vaccination can be justified from a libertarian point of view. Hence at least since the landmark US Supreme Court decision in Jacobson v. Massachusetts (1905) nearly 100 years ago, it was obvious that the government had the right to interfere with individual liberties and immunize citizens if it determined that there were legitimate public health reasons to do it. Even libertarian fundamentalists generally recognize that the government has the right to interfere with individual freedoms when the exercise of individual liberties is sufficiently detrimental to the public interest (Lantos & Jackson, 2013, p. 2). Thus, it is clear that mandatory Covid-19 vaccination has its own place, legitimacy, and justification in terms of the ideology of libertarianism.

Even for sanctions in the form of "a. postponement or cessation of the provision of social security or social assistance; b. postponement or termination of government administration services; and/or c. fines" that will be imposed on violators or who do revaccinate themselves (without medical reasons) are still at a rational stage so that they can be justified from the point of view of libertarian ideology. Because according to Savulescu et al., (2021, p. 1501), coercive measures can be ethically justified in a pandemic. This can include various mandatory standards such as lockdowns, quarantines, isolation, wearing of masks, testing and vaccinations. For vaccination, a variety of coercive measures can be applied, ranging from the requirement to attend educational sessions to withholding benefits, fines,

imprisonment, and, at the most extreme, coercion (forced vaccination).

### 4. Conclusion

Mandatory Covid-19 vaccination has long been a debate involving pros and cons among many parties. This includes libertarians. Classical libertarians may favor vaccination volunteerism because they view mandatory vaccination as a sign of government oppression, violation of civil liberties, and the right to bodily integrity. However, libertarians are now shifting to being more inclined to accept mandatory Covid-19 vaccinations. Today's libertarians also accept that governments may require their citizens to be vaccinated. Because in the libertarian framework, the governments still have justification in enforcing coercive policies that violate the rights of certain individuals if the policy is necessary to avoid greater harm to others. So it is clear that the mandatory Covid-19 vaccination has its own place, legitimacy, and justification in terms of the ideology of libertarianism.

### References

### Books

- Moller, D. (2019). Governing least: a New England libertarianism. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rogers, Kathrin A. (2015). Freedom and Self-Creation Anselmian Libertarianism, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Zaid. (2021). La Escuela De Salamanca: Pengantar Sejarah dan Konsep Pemikiran Mazhab Salamanca. Lamongan: Academia Publication.

### **Journal Article**

- Achat, H. M., Stubbs, J. M., & Mittal, R. (2021). Australian healthcare workers and COVID-19 vaccination: Is mandating now or for future variants necessary? *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.13191
- Ahmed, A., Aqeel, M., & Aslam, N. (2020). COVID-19 health crisis and prevalence of anxiety among individuals of various age groups: a qualitative study. *Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice*, 16(1), 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMHTEP-07-2020-0046

- Ashwell, D., Cullinane, J., & Croucher, S. M. (2021). Vaccine Hesitancy and Support for Employer Vaccine Mandates. Frontiers in Communication, 6, 250–259. https://doi.org/10.3389/FCOMM.2021.780415/BIBTEX
- Attwell, K., Rizzi, M., McKenzie, L., Carlson, S. J., Roberts, L., Tomkinson, S., & Blyth, C. C. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine Mandates: An Australian attitudinal study. *Vaccine*. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VACCINE.2021.11.056
- Bauer, A., Tiefengraber, D., & Wiedermann, U. (2021). Towards understanding vaccine hesitancy and vaccination refusal in Austria. Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift, 133(13–14), 703–713. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00508-020-01777-9/FIGURES/7
- Bernstein, J. (2017). The case against libertarian arguments for compulsory vaccination. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 43(11), 792–796. https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2016-103857
- Block, W. E. (2020). A Libertarian Analysis of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Libertarian Studies*, 24, 206–237.
- Boniolo, G. (2016). Public Obligation and Individual Freedom: How to Fill the Gap? The Case of Vaccinations. *Journal of Public Health Research*, 5(2), 58–59. https://doi.org/10.4081/JPHR.2016.732
- Bradfield, O. M., & Giubilini, A. (2021). Spoonful of honey or a gallon of vinegar? A conditional COVID-19 vaccination policy for front-line healthcare workers. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 47(7), 467–472. https://doi.org/10.1136/MEDETHICS-2020-107175
- Camilleri, F. (2019). Compulsory vaccinations for children: Balancing the competing human rights at stake. *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights*, 37(3), 245–267. https://doi.org/10.1177/0924051919861797
- Chirumbolo, S. (2021). Vaccination hesitancy and the "myth" on mRNA-based vaccines in Italy in the COVID-19 era: Does urgency meet major safety criteria? *Journal of Medical Virology*, 93(7), 4049–4053. https://doi.org/10.1002/JMV.26922
- Davidson, M. D. (2021). How Fairness Principles in the Climate Debate Relate to Theories of Distributive Justice. *Sustainability*, 13(13), 7302. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13137302
- De Panfilis, L., Peruselli, C., Artioli, G., Perin, M., Bruera, E., Brazil, K., & Tanzi, S. (2021). A Qualitative Study on Nudging and Palliative Care: "An Attractive but Misleading Concept." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2021, Vol. 18, Page 9575, 18*(18), 9575–9582. https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH18189575
- Debus, M., & Tosun, J. (2021). Political ideology and vaccination willingness: implications for policy design. *Policy Sciences*, 54(3), 477–491. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11077-021-09428-0/TABLES/1
- Delanty, G. (2020). Six political philosophies in search of a virus: Critical perspectives on the coronavirus pandemic. LSE 'Europe in Question' Discussion Paper Series, (156), 1–17.

  Retrieved from https://www.lse.ac.uk/european-institute/Assets/Documents/LEQS-Discussion-Papers/LEQSPaper156.pdf
- Flanigan, J. (2013). A Defense of Compulsory Vaccination. *HEC Forum* , 26(1), 5–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10730-013-9221-5
- García-Toledano, E., López-Parra, E., Cebrián-Martínez, A., & Palomares-Ruiz, A. (2022).
  Inclusive Education for Health: Analysis of the Mandatory Nature of Vaccination and

- Its Regulation by Administrations, and Implications and Considerations for Vaccination against COVID. *Vaccines*, 10(1), 73–90. https://doi.org/10.3390/VACCINES10010073
- Giannouchos, T. V., Steletou, E., Saridi, M., & Souliotis, K. (2021a). Mandatory vaccination support and intentions to get vaccinated for COVID-19: Results from a nationally representative general population survey in October 2020 in Greece. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 27(4), 996–1003. https://doi.org/10.1111/JEP.13588
- Giannouchos, T. V., Steletou, E., Saridi, M., & Souliotis, K. (2021b). Should vaccination be mandated? Individuals' perceptions on mandatory vaccination in Greece. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 27(5), 1104–1111. https://doi.org/10.1111/JEP.13568
- Giubilini, A. (2019). Vaccination Policies and the Principle of Least Restrictive Alternative: An Intervention Ladder. *The Ethics of Vaccination*, 59–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02068-2\_3
- Giubilini, A. (2020). An Argument for Compulsory Vaccination: The Taxation Analogy. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 37(3), 446–466. https://doi.org/10.1111/JAPP.12400
- Giubilini, A., Douglas, T., & Savulescu, J. (2018). The moral obligation to be vaccinated: utilitarianism, contractualism, and collective easy rescue. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, 21(4), 547–560. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-018-9829-y
- Giubilini, A., & Savulescu, J. (2019). Vaccination, Risks, and Freedom: The Seat Belt Analogy. *Public Health Ethics*, 12(3), 237–249. https://doi.org/10.1093/PHE/PHZ014
- Graeber, D., Schmidt-Petri, C., & Schröder, C. (2021). Attitudes on voluntary and mandatory vaccination against COVID-19: Evidence from Germany. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(5), e0248372. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0248372
- Gravagna, K., Becker, A., Valeris-Chacin, R., Mohammed, I., Tambe, S., Awan, F. A., ... Basta, N. E. (2020). Global assessment of national mandatory vaccination policies and consequences of non-compliance. *Vaccine*, 38(49), 7865–7873. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.VACCINE.2020.09.063
- Haberer, J. E., van der Straten, A., Safren, S. A., Johnson, M. O., Amico, K. R., del Rio, C., ... Simoni, J. M. (2021). Individual health behaviours to combat the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons from HIV socio-behavioural science. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*, 24(8), e25771. https://doi.org/10.1002/JIA2.25771
- Iyer, R., Koleva, S., Graham, J., Ditto, P., & Haidt, J. (2012). Understanding Libertarian Morality: The Psychological Dispositions of Self-Identified Libertarians. *PLOS ONE*, 7(8), e42366. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0042366
- Jacobson, R. M., Agunwamba, A. A., St. Sauver, J. L., & Rutten, L. J. F. (2015). The most effective and promising population health strategies to advance human papillomavirus vaccination. *Expert Review of Vaccines*, 15(2), 257–269. https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.2016.1116947
- Kates, O. S., Stock, P. G., Ison, M. G., Allen, R. D. M., Burra, P., Jeong, J. C., ... Wall, A. (2021). Ethical review of COVID-19 vaccination requirements for transplant center staff and patients. American Journal of Transplantation, 00, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/AJT.16878

- Khunti, K., Kamal, A., Pareek, M., & Griffiths, A. (2021). Should vaccination for healthcare workers be mandatory? *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 114(5), 235–236. https://doi.org/10.1177/01410768211013525
- Koyama, M. (2021). Epidemic disease and the state: Is there a tradeoff between public health and liberty? *Public Choice* 2021, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11127-021-00944-4
- Lantos, J. D., & Jackson, M. A. (2013). Vaccine Mandates Are Justifiable Because We Are All in This Together. The American Journal of Bioethics, 13(9), 1-2. https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.815021
- Leask, J., Seale, H., Williams, J. H., Kaufman, J., Wiley, K., Mahimbo, A., ... Attwell, K. (2021).
  Policy considerations for mandatory COVID-19 vaccination from the Collaboration on Social Science and Immunisation. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 215(11), 499–503.
  https://doi.org/10.5694/MJA2.51269
- Millar, M. R., Gourtsoyannis, Y., & Jayakumar, A. (2021). Ethics of vaccination: Should capability measures be used to inform SARS-CoV-2 vaccination strategies? *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/BCP.14875
- Navin, M. C. (2015). Values and Vaccine Refusal: Hard Questions in Ethics, Epistemology, and Health Care. New York: Routledge.
- Odone, A., Dallagiacoma, G., Frascella, B., Signorelli, C., & Leask, J. (2021). Current understandings of the impact of mandatory vaccination laws in Europe. *Expert Review of Vaccines*, 20(5), 559–575. https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2021.1912603
- Panagopoulou, F. (2021). Mandatory Vaccination during the Period of a Pandemic: Legal and Ethical Considerations in Europe. *BioTech*, 10(4), 29–42. https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOTECH10040029
- Paterlini, M. (2021). Covid-19: Italy makes vaccination mandatory for healthcare workers. *BMJ*, 373, n905. https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.N905
- Paul, K. T., & Loer, K. (2019). Contemporary vaccination policy in the European Union: tensions and dilemmas. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 40(2), 166–179. https://doi.org/10.1057/S41271-019-00163-8/FIGURES/1
- Piccirilli, G., Lazzarotto, T., Chiereghin, A., Serra, L., Gabrielli, L., & Lanari, M. (2015). Spotlight on measles in Italy: why outbreaks of a vaccine-preventable infection continue in the 21st century. Expert Review of Anti-Infective Therapy, 13(3), 355–362. https://doi.org/10.1586/14787210.2015.1003808
- Pierik, R. (2017). On religious and secular exemptions: A case study of childhood vaccination waivers. *Ethnicities*, 17(2), 220–241. https://doi.org/10.1177/1468796817692629
- Pierik, R. (2018). Mandatory Vaccination: An Unqualified Defence. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 35(2), 381–398. https://doi.org/10.1111/JAPP.12215
- Prieto Curiel, R., & González Ramírez, H. (2021). Vaccination strategies against COVID-19 and the diffusion of anti-vaccination views. *Scientific Reports* 2021 11:1, 11(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85555-1
- Rezza, G. (2019). Mandatory vaccination for infants and children: the Italian experience. Pathogens and Global Health, 113(7), 291–296. https://doi.org/10.1080/20477724.2019.1705021

- Saied, S. M., Saied, E. M., Kabbash, I. A., & Abdo, S. A. E. F. (2021). Vaccine hesitancy: Beliefs and barriers associated with COVID-19 vaccination among Egyptian medical students. *Journal of Medical Virology*, 93(7), 4280–4291. https://doi.org/10.1002/JMV.26910
- Savulescu, J., Persson, I., & Wilkinson, D. (2020). Utilitarianism and the pandemic. *Bioethics*, 34(6), 620–632. https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12771
- Savulescu, J., Pugh, J., & Wilkinson, D. (2021). Balancing incentives and disincentives for vaccination in a pandemic. *Nature Medicine*, 27(9), 1500–1503. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01466-8
- Schmelz, K. (2020). Enforcement may crowd out voluntary support for COVID-19 policies, especially where trust in government is weak and in a liberal society. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.2016385118/-/DCSUPPLEMENTAL
- Schwartz, J. L. (2013). Evidence and Ethics in Mandatory Vaccination Policies. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 13(9), 46–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2013.815023
- Silverstein, A. (2021). Vaccine mandates: A transplant recipient's perspective. *American Journal of Transplantation*. https://doi.org/10.1111/AJT.16908
- Sprengholz, P., Betsch, C., & Böhm, R. (2021). Reactance revisited: Consequences of mandatory and scarce vaccination in the case of COVID-19. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 13(4), 986–995. https://doi.org/10.1111/APHW.12285
- Steiner, H. (2019). Asymmetric information, libertarianism, and fraud. *Review of Social Economy*, 77(2), 94–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/00346764.2019.1602280
- Tomljenovic, H., Bubic, A., & Hren, D. (2020). Decision making processes underlying avoidance of mandatory child vaccination in Croatia a qualitative study. *Current Psychology*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12144-020-01110-7/TABLES/2
- Velan, B. (2011). Acceptance on the move: Public reaction to shifting vaccination realities. Human Vaccines, 7(12), 1261–1270. https://doi.org/10.4161/HV.7.12.17980
- Velan, B., Boyko, V., Lerner-Geva, L., Ziv, A., Yagar, Y., & Kaplan, G. (2012). Individualism, acceptance and differentiation as attitude traits in the public's response to vaccination. Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 8(9), 1272–1282. https://doi.org/10.4161/HV.21183

### Legal Citation

- Presidential Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2021 concerning Amendments to Presidential Regulation Number 99 of 2020 concerning Vaccine Procurement and Vaccination Implementation in the Context of Combating the 2019 Corona Virus Disease Pandemic (Covid-19).
- Regulation of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia Number 10 of 2021 concerning the Implementation of Vaccination in the Context of Combating the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Pandemic.

# Should Covid-19 Vaccination be Mandated? Searching for Libertarianism Legitimacies

	LITY REPORT	n Legiumacies		
SIMILA	8 RITY INDEX	16% INTERNET SOURCES	11% PUBLICATIONS	10% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY	/ SOURCES			
1	WWW.Na	i <mark>ture.com</mark>		2%
2	Submitt Student Pape	ed to UIN Syari	f Hidayatullah <sub>.</sub>	Jakarta 2%
3	onlinelik Internet Sour	orary.wiley.com		1 %
4	link.spri	nger.com		1 %
5	digilib.u	nisayogya.ac.id		1 %
6	Submitt Student Pape	ed to Universita	as Prima Indon	esia 1 %
7	new.esp	_		1 %
8	voi.id Internet Sour	ce		1 %
9	Submitt Student Pape	ed to University	of Leicester	1 %

10	journals.sagepub.com Internet Source	1 %
11	Submitted to Adtalem Global Education Student Paper	1 %
12	www.sciencegate.app Internet Source	<1%
13	journal.umy.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
14	journals.plos.org Internet Source	<1%
15	oxfordre.com Internet Source	<1%
16	Fung Kei Cheng. "Debate on Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination", Ethics, Medicine and Public Health, 2022 Publication	<1%
17	Submitted to Universitas Bung Hatta Student Paper	<1%
18	Julian Savulescu, Jonathan Pugh, Dominic Wilkinson. "Balancing incentives and disincentives for vaccination in a pandemic", Nature Medicine, 2021 Publication	<1%
19	Susumu Cato, Akira Inoue. "Libertarian approaches to the COVID - 19 pandemic",	<1%

20	Submitted to University of Wales Institute, Cardiff Student Paper	<1%
21	board.therapeuticsolutionsint.com Internet Source	<1%
22	Andi Susilawaty, Fajrin Noviyanto, Iis Afrianty, Armia Syahputra et al. "Attitude, Risk Perception and Public Acceptance against Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccination in Indonesia", Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 2021	<1%
23	members.aol.com Internet Source	<1%
24	minerva-access.unimelb.edu.au Internet Source	<1%
25	ebin.pub Internet Source	<1%
26	fhukum.unpatti.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
27	kiej.georgetown.edu Internet Source	<1%
28	oamjms.eu Internet Source	<1%

