Estimating SPAD, Nitrogen Concentration, and Chlorophyll Content in Rice Leaves using Calibrated Smartphone Digital Image

10.18196/pt.v12i2.20553

Valensi Kautsar^{1,2}*, Kuni Faizah³, Arief Ika Uktoro³, Lutfiatun Khasanah³, Filiphus³

¹Agrotechnology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Institut Pertanian Stiper (INSTIPER), Jl. Nangka II, Maguwoharjo, Depok, Sleman, D.I. Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

² Postgraduate Program in Plantation Management, Institut Pertanian Stiper (INSTIPER), Jl. Petung No. 2, Papringan, Depok, Sleman, D.I. Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

³ Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Institut Pertanian Stiper (INSTIPER), Jl. Nangka II, Maguwoharjo, Depok, Sleman, D.I. Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

*Corresponding email: valkauts@instiperjogja.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Laboratory analysis is commonly used to determine nitrogen and chlorophyll content. However, smartphones can serve as rapid, mobile, and non-destructive tools for this purpose. An equation can be created to calculate nitrogen and chlorophyll content by analyzing color parameters from digital images of rice leaves. An examination was performed on 86 rice leaf samples from the maximum tillering and mature stages. Rice leaf photos were taken with a smartphone in natural outdoor lighting. Color calibration with Spydercheckr was needed to adjust for lighting conditions. Uncalibrated and calibrated image data were analyzed to determine RGB values converted into CIELAB color space. The L*, a*, and b* values had a significant correlation with SPAD parameters, nitrogen concentration, chlorophyll a, b, and total chlorophyll content. This connection was higher after image calibration. The study found that smartphone images could predict SPAD values with 87.9% to 92.3% precision, depending on color space. Using a smartphone digital picture of L* and a* values, N content could be estimated with 84.7% and 81.9% accuracy. Average accuracy for chlorophyll a, b, and total chlorophyll content was 65% to 76%. This study shows smartphone images can estimate rice leaf SPAD and nitrogen content.

Keywords: Calibrated image; CIELAB; Color image processing, Leaf color; Nitrogen estimation

INTRODUCTION

The development and productivity of plants are predominantly influenced by the physiological mechanisms of photosynthesis and respiration, which facilitate the synthesis of carbohydrates and the generation of energy for plant metabolism. Both metabolic processes can function optimally when provided with the necessary nutrition. Photosynthesis, a biological process affected by chlorophyll in plants, necessitates the presence of nitrogen as a vital component. Hence, the assessment of plant development and production necessitates the consideration of chlorophyll value and nitrogen content in the leaves, as indicated by previous studies (Evans & Clarke, 2019; Mu and Chen, 2020; Stirbet et al., 2020). Prior research has demonstrated the significance of chlorophyll values in the assessment of nitrogen status in leaves, as evidenced by strong correlation values (Gabriel et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019).



Article History Received : 18 November 2023 Revised : 4 July 2024 Accepted : 1 August 2024



Planta Tropika is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. Rice is a prominent staple grain globally, with Indonesia being a notable producer of this commodity. At present, Indonesia's food requirements continue to depend on the rice industry, thereby highlighting the significance of government initiatives aimed at enhancing rice yield (<u>Silalahi et al.</u>, <u>2019; Sulistyorini & Sunaryanto, 2020</u>). In order to enhance rice yield, it is important to administer the appropriate dosage of fertilizer, hence enabling the implementation of precision agriculture techniques. The leaf color chart (LCC) is a practical tool designed to assist farmers by providing suggestions on fertilizer application based on color indicators (<u>Subedi et al., 2018</u>). Nevertheless, the utilization of LCC is impeded mostly due to farmers' restricted accessibility.

Furthermore, the qualitative evaluation of color is constrained by using only 4 or 6 shades of green, resulting in an incomplete representation of the entire spectrum of green hues. Moreover, the predominant green hue exhibited by leaves mostly corresponds to the presence of chlorophyll. Consequently, relying just on apparent color characteristics may not be suitable for accurately assessing nitrogen deficit. Furthermore, in order to obtain accurate fertilizer recommendations based on chlorophyll and nitrogen levels, it is necessary to do laboratory analysis, a process that is both costly and time-consuming and not readily available to farmers.

There is a pressing demand for a swift analytical approach that exhibits enhanced accuracy, precision, portability, and universal applicability among wetland rice cultivators. This method should possess the capability of effectively assessing the nitrogen and chlorophyll levels in the leaves, thereby serving as a crucial determinant for determining the appropriate dosage of nitrogen fertilizer to be administered by farmers. The utilization of hyperspectral, multispectral, and infrared cameras, along with other diverse devices, is subject to financial limitations and occasional lack of portability (<u>Caballero et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2022</u>). The utilization of widely accessible smartphone cameras has the potential to evaluate the levels of nitrogen and chlorophyll in plants, as the digital images produced by these cameras can offer valuable insights into the color characteristics of leaves. One limitation associated with utilizing digital cameras for color measurement is the susceptibility of the captured color to environmental lighting conditions. Consequently, it becomes imperative to calibrate the color output generated by smartphone cameras.

Variations in color features might arise while capturing digital photographs of an item at different points in time. To address this issue, the calibration of color pixels becomes imperative. The color features obtained can be regarded as standardized colors that can be employed to calibrate color features in smartphone cameras (Cruz, 2019; Souza et al., 2018). Additionally, the Datacolor SpyderCHECKR standard color palette, which serves as a means to calibrate colors and is seamlessly integrated with the SpyderCHECKR software48, is used. Certain studies employ a methodology akin to utilizing the SpyderCHECKR color palette. This involves the placement of multiple calibration objects with distinct colors, enabling the calibration of leaf color features based on the color characteristics generated at various time points. The Spydercheckr color palette is widely utilized in photography as a calibration tool to achieve precise color reproduction. The study conducted by Sunoj et al. (2018) demonstrates that color calibration matrix equations can be constructed using a standard palette of colors. The utilization of digital leaf photos enables the evaluation of plant health by analyzing the colorimetric properties of leaves. The correlation between leaf color and leaf chlo-

rophyll concentration is the most significant, thus indicating that leaf chlorophyll content can serve as a reliable indicator of the overall health status of plants. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the potential of utilizing both calibrated and uncalibrated camera images to estimate chlorophyll and nitrogen content in rice leaves.



MATERIALS AND METHODS SPAD, Nitrogen and Chlorophyll Content Measurement



This study focuses on the relationship between chlorophyll content and leaf N content with image data readings through both calibrated and uncalibrated smartphone cameras. Chlorophyll and leaf N content were measured on fully expanded upper leaves at maximum tillering and harvest stages. A total of 86 plant leaf samples were taken from rice plants of different ages, at maximum tillering period and mature period. Thus, the values obtained were widely distributed. The quantification of chlorophyll content in leaves was carried out using chlorophyll meter and laboratory methods. Leaf chlorophyll content was determined using a SPAD-502 chlorophyll (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc., Tokyo, Japan). This measuring technique involved assessing the transmittance of light at two specific wavelengths at 650 nm (red) and 940 nm (infrared). Chlorophyll content analysis was also

measured using the Arnon method in the laboratory. The optical absorption at wavelengths 663 nm and 645 nm was measured using a spectrophotometer device. The instrument was calibrated using a control sample consisting of 80% acetone. The determination of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll for each sample was conducted utilizing the following formulas:

$$Chl \ a = \frac{(12.7 \ x \ D_{663}) - (2.69 \ x \ D_{645}) \ x \ V}{1000 \ x \ W} \tag{1}$$

$$Chl \ b = \frac{(22.9 \ x \ D_{645}) - (4.68 \ x \ D_{663}) \ x \ V}{1000 \ x \ W} \tag{2}$$

$$Chl \ total = \frac{(20.2 \ x \ D_{645}) + (8.02 \ x \ D_{663}) \ x \ V}{1000 \ x \ W} \tag{3}$$

The variables "V," "W," and "D" represent the volume of acetone 80% (20 ml or 25 ml), the fresh weight, and the optical absorption at wavelengths 663 nm and 645 nm, respectively. The flow of the research method is shown in Figure 1.

Image Acquisition and Processing

Both nitrogen content analysis, chlorophyll measurement using a spectrophotometer, and chlorophyll measurement using SPAD 502 are considered ground truth values that will be correlated with image data from both calibrated and uncalibrated cameras. Subsequent to the removal of the leaves, a digital image of the external environment was conducted employing a smartphone camera equipped with the subsequent specifications and settings (Table 1).

	Specificat	tions	Settings		
Brand	:	Vivo	ISO	:	100
Model	:	V23e	Shutter speed	:	1/400
Resolution	:	64MP	White balance	:	Sunshine
Aperture	:	f/1.8	Autofocus	:	On
Focal length	:	26 mm	Camera height	:	47.6 cm
Feature	:	HDR	Zoom	:	3.0 x

Table 1. Smartphone camera specifications and settings for obtaining digital image data

Calibration using the Spydercheckr48 color palette is performed on photos generated from the camera to reproduce colors better than in the original. Thus, it can better analyze chlorophyll or nitrogen content than without calibration. Calibration was done with Adobe Lightroom Classic version 11.4.1 (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA) and Spydercheckr version 1.6 (Data color, Lawrenceville, NJ, USA). Figure 2 shows the difference in image color before and after calibration using Spydercheckr 48. Uncalibrated and calibrated images were then RGB-valued using ImageJ, an open-source image processing software (Schneider et al., 2012), and then converted to L*, a*, and b* values. The CIELAB color space (represented by L*, a*, and b* values) is considered superior for analyzing the color range from yellow to green in leaf color due to its enhanced perceptual uniformity compared to other color spaces, such as RGB or CMYK. The utilization of the CIELAB color space for the analysis of the yellow-to-green spectrum in leaf coloration facilitates the comprehension of the impact of chlorophyll content on the visual appearance of leaves. Correlation analysis and figures were generated using Origin Pro 2021 version 9.8.0.200 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).



Figure 2. Images of leaf color digital image changes (a) before calibration and (b) after calibration using Spydercheckr 48

The acquired model was also employed to transform the non-calibrated camera images into SPAD values, nitrogen content, and chlorophyll content (a, b, and total chlorophyll). The values derived from the model were subsequently compared with the empirical values obtained from SPAD measurements and laboratory analysis, encompassing nitrogen, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll content. The accuracy computation involved the utilization of the discrepancy between the modeled and actual data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relationship of SPAD and Nitrogen Content with Leaf Chlorophyll Content

The SPAD meter is a tool that enables rapid and non-invasive quantification of chlorophyll concentrations in plant leaves. This measurement provides a direct assessment of photosynthetic efficacy and the overall health of the plant. The correlation between chlorophyll and SPAD meters originates in the capacity of SPAD meters to offer a quantitative assessment of chlorophyll levels in plant leaves. SPAD meters provide the ability to assess plant health and productivity by quantifying leaf greenness. The utilization of this linkage has the potential to facilitate the monitoring of plant conditions, identification of nutrient deficiencies or plant stress, and provision of crucial information for the optimization of plant growth. There was a significant relationship between the SPAD value and chlorophyll content, encompassing chlorophyll a, b, and total. As the SPAD value increased, there was a corresponding increase in the chlorophyll content. The regression coefficients for chlorophyll a, b, and total were 0.6733, 0.5130, and 0.6422, respectively (Figure 3). The primary pigment responsible for absorbing sunlight during the process of photosynthesis in plants is chlorophyll. Consequently, greater SPAD values are indicative of more efficient rates of photosynthesis.



Figure 3. Relationship between SPAD and (a) chlorophyll a, (b) chlorophyll b, and (c) total chlorophyll, and between nitrogen content and (d) chlorophyll a, (e) chlorophyll b, and (f) total chlorophyll

Relationship of CIELAB Color Space with SPAD Value



Figure 4. Comparison of correlation between SPAD and CIELAB color space values: (a) L*, (b) a*, and (c) b* in digital images, with and without calibration

The L*, a*, and b* color spaces had notable correlation coefficients with the SPAD value (Figure 4). The calibrated image exhibited a stronger correlation with the L* color space. The calibrated L* values exhibited a broader range than the uncalibrated model. In the context of the a* color space, the uncalibrated image had a slight advantage compared to the calibrated image despite both images demonstrating strong correlation values. The b* color space exhibited a significant rise, with the correlation value rising from -0.487 in the uncalibrated image to -0.797 after calibration. The color of the digital image demonstrated a strong correlation with the SPAD value, which became more pronounced when the digital image was calibrated. The correlation values between SPAD and L*, a*, and b* are high, exceeding those between SPAD and RGB on lettuce leaves, which were only 0.794, 0.346, and 0.387, respectively (Ibrahim et al., 2021).



Relationship of CIELAB Color Space with Nitrogen Content

Figure 5. Comparison of correlation between nitrogen content and CIELAB color space values: (a) L*, (b) a*, and (c) b* in digital images, with and without calibration

Similarly, nitrogen content showed a significant correlation in all color spaces (Figure 5). The increase in correlation was found in the L* color space after calibration, from -0.668 to -0.809. When operating within the a* color space, the distinction between the calibrated and uncalibrated photographs was negligible. The b* color space demonstrated the most notable and prominent improvement. The uncalibrated digital image exhibited a correlation coefficient of -0.214, whereas the calibrated digital image demonstrated a correlation coefficient that was twice as large, measuring -0.548. The results indicated that the calibration process significantly improved the accuracy of the values in the digital image. The process of image calibration, when executed with accurate and well-defined color settings, is expected to produce an image that is identical to the original color of the sample. This is intended to enhance the accuracy of color information, hence facilitating the detection of nitrogen content.

Relationship of CIELAB Color Space with Chlorophyll a





Chlorophyll a, being the primary pigment involved in the process of photosynthesis, plays an essential role in the absorption of solar energy. It possesses a distinctive ability to capture light throughout the red and blue wavelength ranges, typically spanning from approximately 430 to 662 nm (Bartolome et al., 2020; Zepka et al., 2019). The calibrated image exhibited a modest rise in the L* color space (R=-0.836, P<0.01) in contrast to the uncalibrated image (R=-0.648, P<0.01), despite both images displaying a strong correlation (Figure 6). This effect was also observed in the a* color space. In contrast, the b* color space exhibited a substantial enhancement in the calibrated image

captured by a smartphone. Before the calibration, there was no substantial relationship between b^* color space and chlorophyll a, as indicated by no significant correlation (R=-0.099, P>0.05). Nevertheless, the process of calibration utilizing Spydercheckr 48 demonstrated a strong correlation between b^* and the camera that performed the calibration. The b^* color space is a metric used to quantify the location of colors along the blue-to-yellow axis. Within the framework of b^* , the horizontal axis of the Lab color space represents the blue-to-yellow axis, progressing from left to right. Positive values of b^* are indicative of a greater yellow coloration, whilst negative values of b^* are indication.



Relationship of CIELAB Color Space with Chlorophyll b

Figure 7. Comparison of correlation between chlorophyll b and CIELAB color space values: (a) L*, (b) a*, and (c) b* in digital images, with and without calibration

Chlorophyll b is a photosynthetic pigment that serves the purpose of absorbing light energy within the wavelength range of 453-642 nm, which is beyond the capacity of chlorophyll a. Chlorophyll b expands the range of light absorption in plants by effectively capturing light at distinct blue and red wavelengths. This broadening of the light spectrum enhances photosynthetic efficiency and confers an adaptive advantage in response to variations in external light conditions. Furthermore, the involvement of chlorophyll b in the energy transfer to chlorophyll a during the light-dependent phase of photosynthesis is important (Bartolome et al., 2020; Zepka et al., 2019). Similar to the correlation observed between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space and chlorophyll a, the association between the CIELAB color space. Prior to calibration, the data exhibited an insignificant correlation, as shown by an R value of 0.032. However, following the calibration process, a significant correlation was observed, with an R value of -0.328 (Figure 7).

CIELAB Color Space Relationship with Total Chlorophyll



Figure 8. Comparison of correlation between total chlorophyll and CIELAB color space values: (a) L*, (b) a*, and (c) b* in digital images, with and without calibration

The aforementioned trend continued in relation to the aggregate measurement of chlorophyll, which includes both chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b. The correlation value in the L* color space exhibited a significant increase from R=-0.593 (P<0.01) to R=-0.801 (P<0.01) during the calibration process (Figure 8). The a* color space exhibited a minor decline, with an uncalibrated value of -0.735 (P<0.01), then decreased to -0.577 (P<0.01) when the image was performed calibration. In the same way, the b* color space demonstrated significant effects of digital image calibration, with a correlation coefficient of R=-0.047 (P>0.05) observed before calibration, which increased to -0.437 (P<0.01) after calibration.

Estimated SPAD, Nitrogen, and Chlorophyll Content using Smartphone Digital Image



Figure 9. Correlation between calibrated and uncalibrated color space values: (a) L*, (b) a^* , and (c) b*

The utilization of calibrated images indicating increased correlation across various variables (SPAD, nitrogen, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll) offers the possibility of facilitating the analysis of variable values. The process of calibrating an image is often complex due to the necessity of software assistance, which can be time-consuming and impractical for farmers. Hence, it is imperative to establish a correlation between the uncalibrated image and the analysis of crop variables. This can be achieved by correlating CIELAB values of the image data before and after calibration, resulting in the derivation of an equation. The L*, a*, and b* values showed significant correlation with coefficients of 0.864, 0.918, and 0.842, respectively (Figure 9). Color calibration is important because it is related to lighting conditions, where different light conditions allow the image color to be different, which in turn results in different accuracy (<u>Astika & Khayati,</u> <u>2019; Sunoj et al., 2018</u>).

The trial results from 11 digital image samples showed that the accuracy of SPAD with the L*, a*, and b* color spaces was more than 85% (Table 2). This indicated that the CIELAB color space has the potential to assist observers in analyzing through smartphone cameras. Employing a color space alternative to RGB enables the attainment of an enhanced color range due to its expansive gamut of colors, perhaps leading to improved outcomes (Shrivastava & Pradhan, 2021; Sunoj et al., 2018). The CIELAB color space demonstrated an adequate degree of accuracy, averaging around 80% in most cases. However, it is worth noting that the b* color space exhibited a slightly lower accuracy rate of 79%. The use of CIELAB color space proves beneficial in the examination of nitrogen levels

			L* color space						
	SPAD	N content	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Total chlorophyll				
Average	92.3	84.7	74.6	73.5	75.7				
Std. Dev.	7.0	9.1	14.0	16.0	14.8				
RMSE	3.55	0.44	1.07	0.75	1.80				
Min	76.3	68.9	48.5	51.0	53.4				
Max	98.8	96.4	96.1	91.9	97.4				
a* color space									
	SPAD	N content	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Total chlorophyll				
Average	87.9	81.9	70.6	69.9	70.8				
Std. Dev.	8.9	13.4	23.0	18.8	18.2				
RMSE	4.20	0.46	0.98	0.66	1.60				
Min	66.5	52.1	19.0	26.2	34.5				
Max	96.72	99.65	94.52	98.98	92.76				
	b* color space								
	SPAD	N content	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Total chlorophyll				
Average	87.9	79.0	65.3	66.4	66.1				
Std. Dev.	8.9	10.0	18.5	12.7	13.5				
RMSE	4.82	0.54	1.30	0.84	2.11				
Min	74.4	62.5	30.5	54.1	44.1				
Max	98.5	98.3	91.7	94.6	89.7				

Table 2. Accuracy analysis of color from trial samples of smartphone digital images

in rice leaves, particularly subsequent to the calibration of the image with a color standard. In contrast, the accuracy values of chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b were rather low, ranging from 62.3% to 74.6% in a* color space and from 66.4% to 73.5% in b* color space. The exacerbation of this issue was evident in the lowest accuracy values recorded in a* and b* color spaces, which demonstrated only 19.0% and 26.2%, respectively. Hence, it is imperative to implement enhancements in order to achieve a minimum accuracy threshold of 85%. Both chlorophyll a and b have an impact on the overall chlorophyll measurement. The accuracy of total chlorophyll is relatively low because of the low accuracy values of chlorophyll a and b. The L* color space exhibited an accuracy value of 75.7%, whilst the a* and b* color spaces demonstrated accuracies of 70.8% and 66.1%, respectively.

CONCLUSION

There was a significant correlation between plant leaf greenness variables (SPAD, N content, chlorophyll a, b, and total chlorophyll) and images obtained from smartphones based on the CIELAB color space. The correlation value increased when calibration was done first using a color palette. With the high correlation value, the use of smartphone camera images has great potential in estimating these plant greenness variables. The accuracy of SPAD and nitrogen content values was high, ranging from 79.0% to 92.3%, depending on the L*a*b color space used. Meanwhile, it is observed that the accuracy values for chlorophyll a and b remain relatively low, ranging from 65.3% to 74.6%. Similarly, the accuracy of the total chlorophyll content was reported to be between 66.1% and 75.7%.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a 2023 Penelitian Dosen Pemula from Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Tinggi, Riset, dan Teknologi, Grant Number 181/E5/PG.02.00.PL/2023.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization was led by VK, KF, and AIU; methodology was developed by VK and KF; validation was carried out by KF and AIU; analysis was performed by VK, KF, LK, and F; the investigation was conducted by VK, KF, LK, and F; data curation was managed by LK and F; the original draft was prepared by VK and KF; review and editing were undertaken by VK and AIU. All authors provided valuable feedback and made significant contributions to the research development, analysis, and manuscript preparation.

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Astika, I. W., & Khayati, N. N. (2019, June). Prediction of color level and chlorophyll content of corn (*Zea mays* L.) leaves by using mobile phone cameras. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 557(1), 012029. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/557/1/012029</u>
- Bartolome, G. J. C., de Mesa, J. P. S., Adoña, J. A. C., & Al Eugene, L. T. (2020). Performance of dye-sensitized solar cells with natural dye from local tropical plants. *Mindanao Journal of Science and Technology*, 18(1).
- Caballero, D., Calvini, R., & Amigo, J. M. (2019). Hyperspectral imaging in crop fields: precision agriculture. *Data Handling in Science and Technology*, *32*,453-473. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63977-6.00018-3</u>
- Cruz, G. D. (2019). Nitrogen deficiency mobile application for rice plant through image processing techniques. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(6), 2950-2955. <u>https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.F8721.088619</u>
- Evans, J. R., & Clarke, V. C. (2019). The nitrogen cost of photosynthesis. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *70*(1), 7-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery366</u>
- Gabriel, J., Quemada, M., Alonso-Ayuso, M., Lizaso, J., & Martín-Lammerding, D. (2019). Predicting N Status in Maize with Clip Sensors: Choosing Sensor, Leaf Sampling Point, and Timing. *Sensors, 19*(18), 3881. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/s19183881</u>.
- Ibrahim, N. U. A., Abd Aziz, S., Jamaludin, D., & Harith, H. H. (2021). Development of smartphone-based imaging techniques for the estimation of chlorophyll content in lettuce leaves. *Food Research*, *5*(1), 33-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.26656/fr.2017.5(S1).036</u>
- Mu, X., & Chen, Y. (2020). The physiological response of photosynthesis to nitrogen deficiency. *Plant physiology and biochemistry: PPB, 158, 76-82.* <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.11.019</u>.
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W.S., & Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7), 671–675. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089</u>
- Shrivastava, V. K., & Pradhan, M. K. (2021). Rice plant disease classification using color features: a machine learning paradigm. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, *103*, 17-26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-020-00683-3</u>
- Silalahi, N. H., Yudha, R. O., Dwiyanti, E. I., Zulvianita, D., Feranti, S. N., & Yustiana, Y. (2019). Government policy statements related to rice problems in Indonesia. *Journal of Biological Science, Technology, and Management, 1*(1), 35-41

- Souza, W. S., de Oliveira, M. A., de Oliveira, G. M., de Santana, D. P., & de Araujo, R. E. (2018). Self-referencing method for relative color intensity analysis using mobile-phone. *Optics and Photonics Journal*, 8(07), 264. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/opj.2018.87022</u>
- Stirbet, A., Lazár, D., Guo, Y., & Govindjee, G. (2020). Photosynthesis: basics, history and modelling. Annals of Botany, 126(4), 511-537. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz171</u>
- Subedi, P., Sah, S. K., Marahattha, S., Panta, S., & Shrestha, J. (2018). Nitrogen use efficiency in dry direct seeded rice under LCC based nitrogen management. ORYZA-An International Journal on Rice, 55(4), 590-595. <u>https://doi.org/10.5958/2249-5266.2018.00069.3</u>
- Sulistyorini, S., & Sunaryanto, L. T. (2020). Dampak Efisiensi Usahatani Padi Terhadap Peningkatan Produktvitas. Jambura Agribusiness Journal, 1(2), 43-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.37046/ jaj.v1i2.2680</u>
- Sunoj, S., Igathinathane, C., Saliendra, N., Hendrickson, J., & Archer, D. (2018). Color calibration of digital images for agriculture and other applications. *ISPRS journal of photogrammetry* and remote sensing, 146, 221-234. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.09.015</u>
- Wang, L., Chen, S., Li, D., Wang, C., Jiang, H., Zheng, Q., & Peng, Z. (2021). Estimation of paddy rice nitrogen content and accumulation both at leaf and plant levels from UAV hyperspectral imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 13(15), 2956. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13152956</u>
- Wang, Y. P., Chang, Y. C., & Shen, Y. (2022). Estimation of nitrogen status of paddy rice at vegetative phase using unmanned aerial vehicle based multispectral imagery. *Precision Agriculture*, 23(1), 1-17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-021-09823-w</u>
- Wu, Y., Al-Jumaili, S. J., Al-Jumeily, D., & Bian, H. (2022). Prediction of the Nitrogen Content of Rice Leaf Using Multi-Spectral Images Based on Hybrid Radial Basis Function Neural Network and Partial Least-Squares Regression. *Sensors*, 22(22), 8626. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ s22228626</u>
- Zepka, L. Q., Jacob-Lopes, E., & Roca, M. (2019). Catabolism and bioactive properties of chlorophylls. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 26, 94-100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cofs.2019.04.004</u>
- Zhang, K., Liu, X., Ma, Y., Zhang, R., Cao, Q., Zhu, Y., Cao, W., & Tian, Y. (2019). A Comparative Assessment of Measures of Leaf Nitrogen in Rice Using Two Leaf-Clip Meters. *Sensors*, 20(1),175 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/s20010175</u>.